arXiv:1111.5660v1 [math.AP] 24 Nov 2011

DECAY OF DISSIPATIVE EQUATIONS AND NEGATIVE SOBOLEV SPACES

YAN GUO AND YANJIN WANG

Abstract. We develop a general energy method for proving the optimal time decay rates of the solutions to the dissipative equations in the whole space. Our method is applied to classical examples such as the heat equation, the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and the Boltzmann equation. In particular, the optimal decay rates of the higher-order spatial derivatives of solutions are obtained. The negative Sobolev norms are shown to be preserved along time evolution and enhance the decay rates. We use a family of scaled energy estimates with minimum derivative counts and interpolations among them without linear decay analysis.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to develop a new method to establish the optimal time decay rates of the solutions to the Cauchy problem for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and the Boltzmann equation through the pure energy method. Both the two equations can be formulated as the perturbed operator form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U + \mathfrak{L} U = \mathfrak{N}(U) \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where U is the small perturbation of the equilibrium state, $\mathfrak{L}$ is the linear operator and $\mathfrak{N}(U)$ is the nonlinear term. The linear operator $\mathfrak{L}$ is positively definite in some sense, which implies that the solution $e^{t\mathfrak{L}}U_0$ of the linearized equation of (1.1) converges to 0 as $t \to \infty$. By the classical spectral method, the optimal time decay rates of the linearized equations of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and the Boltzmann equation are well known. The decay rates are similar to that of the heat equation: for $1 \le p \le 2$,

$$\left\| \nabla_x^\ell e^{t\mathfrak{L}} U_0 \right\|_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{\ell}{2}} \left(\|U_0\|_{L^p} + \left\| \nabla_x^\ell U_0 \right\|_{L^2} \right), \ \ell \ge 0. \tag{1.2}$$

One may then expect that the small solution of the nonlinear equation (1.1) has the same decay rate as the linear one (1.2). Many works were denoted to proving the time decay rate for the nonlinear system (1.1). For instance, see [1, 2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24, 27] for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and [3, 4, 6, 26, 32, 33, 35, 36] for the Boltzmann equation, and the references therein. There are two main kinds of method for proving these decay rates among those references. One is that under the additional assumption that $U_0 \in L^p$ with $1 \le p < 2$ (near 1), then the optimal decay rate of (1.1) is proved by combining the linear optimal decay rate (1.2) of spectral analysis and the energy method, cf. [3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24, 26, 27, 32, 35]. The other one is to proving the decay rate through the pure energy method, cf. [1, 2, 22, 33, 36].

It is difficult to show that the L^p norm of the solution can be preserved along time evolution in the L^p–L^2 approach. On the other hand, except [22], the existing pure energy method of proving the decay rate does not lead to the optimal decay rate for the solution. Motivated by [11], using

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30; 76N15; 76P05; 82C40.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations; Boltzmann equation; Energy method; Optimal decay rates; Sobolev interpolation; Negative Sobolev space.

Corresponding author: Y. J. Wang, yanjin_wang@xmu.edu.cn. Y. Guo's research is supported in part by NSF #0905255 as well as a Chinese NSF grant #10828103. Y. J. Wang's research is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China-NSAF #10976026.

a negative Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{-s}$ to replace L^p norm, we combine scaled energy estimates with the interpolation between negative and positive Sobolev norms to prove the time decay rate for these dissipative equations. To illustrate the main idea of our approach, we first revisit the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = 0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Notation 1. In this paper, ∇^ℓ with an integer $\ell \geq 0$ stands for the usual any spatial derivatives of order ℓ. When $\ell < 0$ or ℓ is not a positive integer, ∇^ℓ stands for Λ^ℓ defined by (A.9). We use $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3), s \in \mathbb{R}$ to denote the homogeneous Sobolev spaces on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^s}$ defined by (A.10), and we use $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to denote the usual Sobolev spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ and $L^p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ to denote the usual $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. We will employ the notation $a \lesssim b$ to mean that $a \leq Cb$ for a universal constant $C > 0$ that only depends on the parameters coming from the problem, and the indexes N and s coming from the regularity on the data. We also use C_0 for a positive constant depending additionally on the initial data.

Theorem 1.1. *If $u_0 \in H^N(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $N \geq 0$ be an integer and $s \geq 0$ be a real number, then for any real number $\ell \in [-s, N]$, we have*

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{L^2} \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{\ell+s}{2}}. \tag{1.4}$$

Proof. Let $-s \leq \ell \leq N$. First, we have the standard energy identity of (1.3):

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^\ell u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} u\right\|_{L^2}^2 = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Integrating the above in time, we obtain

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left\|\nabla^\ell u_0\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{1.6}$$

This gives in particular (1.4) with $\ell = -s$. Now for $-s < \ell \leq N$, by Lemma A.4, we interpolate to get

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\|\nabla^{-s} u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\ell+1+s}} \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell+s}{\ell+1+s}}. \tag{1.7}$$

Combining (1.7) and (1.6) (with $\ell = -s$), we obtain

$$\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} u(t)\right\|_{L^2} \geq \left\|\nabla^{-s} u_0\right\|_{L^2}^{-\frac{1}{\ell+s}} \left\|\nabla^{\ell} u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}}. \tag{1.8}$$

Plugging (1.8) into (1.5), we deduce that there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^\ell u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C_0\left(\left\|\nabla^\ell u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}} \leq 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Solving this inequality directly, we obtain

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left(\left\|\nabla^\ell u_0\right\|_{L^2}^{-\frac{2}{\ell+s}} + \frac{C_0 t}{\ell+s}\right)^{-(\ell+s)} \leq C_0(1+t)^{-(\ell+s)}. \tag{1.10}$$

Thus we deduce (1.4) by taking the square root of (1.10). □

Remark 1.2. *The general optimal L^q decay rates of the solution follow by (1.4) and the Sobolev interpolation (cf. Lemma A.1). For instance,*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left\|\nabla^2 u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{s}{2}\right)}. \tag{1.11}$$

An important feature in Theorem 1.1 is that the $\dot{H}^{-s}$ norm of the solution is preserved along time evolution. Compared to (1.2), the result (1.4) demonstrates that the $\dot{H}^{-s}(s \geq 0)$ norm of initial data enhances the decay rate of the solution with the factor $s/2$.

Remark 1.3. *Although Theorem 1.1 can be proved by the Fourier analysis or spectral method, the same strategy in our proof can be applied to nonlinear system with two essential points in the proof: (1) closing the energy estimates at each ℓ-th level (referring to the order of the spatial derivatives of the solution); (2) deriving a novel negative Sobolev estimates for nonlinear equations which requires $s < 3/2$ ($n/2$ for dimension n).*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will state our main results of this paper. We will prove the main theorem of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in Section 3 and prove the main theorems of the Boltzmann equation in Section 4, respectively. The analytic tools used in this paper will be collected in Appendix. We point out here that our method can be applied to many dissipative equations in the whole space. For example, the natural extension of this paper is to considering the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and the Boltzmann equation under the influence of the self-consistent electric field or electromagnetic field, and these will be reported in the forthcoming papers.

2. Main results

2.1. Main results for compressible Navier-Stokes equations.

Considering the compressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0 \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p(\rho) - \mu\Delta u - (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ (\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0), \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

which governs the motion of a compressible viscous fluid. Here $t \ge 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The unknown functions ρ, u represent the density, velocity of the fluid respectively, and the pressure $p = p(\rho)$ is a smooth function in a neighborhood of $\bar\rho$ with $p'(\bar\rho) > 0$, where $\bar\rho$ is a positive constant. We assume that the constant viscosity coefficients μ and λ satisfy the usual physical conditions

$$\mu > 0, \quad \lambda + \frac{2}{3}\mu \ge 0. \tag{2.2}$$

The convergence rate of solutions of the Cauchy problem (2.1) to the steady state has been investigated extensively since the first global existence of small solutions in H^3 (classical solutions) was proved in [23]. For the initial perturbation small in $H^3 \cap L^1$, [24] obtained

$$\|(\rho - \bar\rho, u)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-3/4}, \tag{2.3}$$

and for the small initial perturbation belongs to $H^m \cap W^{m,1}$ with $m \ge 4$, [27] proved the optimal L^q decay rate

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \bar\rho, u)(t)\|_{L^q} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } 2 \le p \le \infty \text{ and } 0 \le k \le 2. \tag{2.4}$$

By the detailed study of the Green function, the optimal $L^q, 1 \le q \le \infty$ decay rates were also obtained in [12, 13, 19] for the small initial perturbation belongs to $H^m \cap L^1$ with $m \ge 4$. These results were extended to the exterior problem [18, 17] or the half space problem [15, 16] or with an external potential force [5], but without the smallness of L^1-norm of the initial perturbation. For the small initial perturbation belongs to H^3 only, by a weighted energy method, [22] showed the optimal decay rates

$$\left\|\nabla^k(\rho - \bar\rho, u)(t)\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-k/2} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \text{ and } \|(\rho - \bar\rho, u)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (1+t)^{-3/4}; \tag{2.5}$$

While based on a differential inequality, [1, 2] obtained a slower (than the optimal) decay rate for the problem in unbounded domains with external force through the pure energy method.

We will apply the energy method illustrated in Theorem 1.1 to prove the L^2 optimal decay rate of the solution to the problem (2.1). We rewrite (2.1) in the perturbation form as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho + \bar{\rho}\mathrm{div} u = -\varrho \mathrm{div} u - u\cdot\nabla\varrho \\ \partial_t u - \bar{\mu}\Delta u - (\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})\nabla\mathrm{div} u + \gamma\bar{\rho}\nabla\varrho = -u\cdot\nabla u - h(\varrho)\left(\bar{\mu}\Delta u + (\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})\nabla\mathrm{div} u\right) - f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho \\ (\varrho, u)|_{t=0} = (\varrho_0, u_0), \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\varrho = \rho - \bar{\rho}$, $\bar{\mu} = \mu/\bar{\rho}$, $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda/\bar{\rho}$, $\gamma = p'(\bar{\rho})/\bar{\rho}^2$, and the two nonlinear functions of ϱ are defined by

$$h(\varrho) := \frac{\varrho}{\varrho+\bar{\rho}} \text{ and } f(\varrho) := \frac{p'(\varrho+\bar{\rho})}{\varrho+\bar{\rho}} - \frac{p'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then our main results are stated in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that* $(\varrho_0, u_0) \in H^N$ *for an integer* $N \geq 3$. *Then there exists a constant* $\delta_0 > 0$ *such that if*

$$\|\varrho_0\|_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} + \|u_0\|_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} \leq \delta_0, \tag{2.8}$$

then the problem (2.6) *admits a unique global solution* $(\varrho(t), u(t))$ *satisfying that for all* $t \geq 0$,

$$\|\varrho(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla\varrho(\tau)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 + \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{H^m}^2 \, d\tau \leq C\left(\|\varrho_0\|_{H^m}^2 + \|u_0\|_{H^m}^2\right), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 2 \leq m \leq N$. *If further,* $\varrho_0, u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-s}$ *for some* $s \in [0, 3/2)$, *then for all* $t \geq 0$,

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\Lambda^{-s}u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0 \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell\varrho(t)\right\|_{H^{N-\ell}}^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{H^{N-\ell}}^2 \leq C_0(1+t)^{-(\ell+s)} \text{ for } -s < \ell \leq N-1. \tag{2.11}$$

Remark 2.2. *For* $N = 3$ *and* $s = 0$, *our decay rates* (2.11) *coincide with* (2.5) *of* [22]. *While for the other cases, our results are completely new. Notice that we do not assume that* $\dot{H}^{-s}$ *norm of initial data is small and this norm enhances the decay rate of the solution to be faster than that of* [22]. *The constraint* $s < 3/2$ *comes from applying Lemma A.6 to estimate the nonlinear terms when doing the negative Sobolev estimates via* Λ^{-s}. *For* $s \geq 3/2$, *the nonlinear estimates would not work.*

Remark 2.3. *Notice that we only assume that the lower order Sobolev norm of initial data is small, while the higher order Sobolev norm can be arbitrarily large. Although one may replace the range of smallness* $[\frac{N}{2}] + 2$ *by a smaller number (e.g.* 3*) by refining the energy estimates, this is beyond our primary interest in this paper.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be presented in Section 3, which is inspired by the proof of Theorem 1.1. However, we will be not able to close the energy estimates at each ℓ-th level as the heat equation. This is essentially caused by the "degenerate" dissipative structure of the linear homogenous system of (2.6) when using our energy method. More precisely, the linear energy identity of the problem reads as: for $\ell = 0, \dots, N$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \gamma|\nabla^\ell\varrho|^2 + |\nabla^\ell u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{\mu}|\nabla\nabla^\ell u|^2 + (\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})|\operatorname{div}\nabla^\ell u|^2 \, dx = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

The constraint (2.2) implies that there exists a constant $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{\mu}|\nabla\nabla^\ell u|^2 + (\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})|\operatorname{div}\nabla^\ell u|^2 \, dx \geq \sigma_0 \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.13}$$

Note that (2.12) and (2.13) only give the dissipative estimate for u. To rediscover the dissipative estimate for ϱ, we will use the linearized equations of (2.6) via constructing the interactive energy functional between u and $\nabla\rho$ to deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^\ell u\cdot\nabla\nabla^\ell\varrho\,dx+C\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.14}$$

This implies that to get the dissipative estimate for $\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho$ it requires us to do the energy estimates (2.12) at both the ℓ-th and the $\ell+1$-th levels (referring to the order of the spatial derivatives of the solution). To get around this obstacle, the idea is to construct some energy functionals $\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(t)$, $\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]+2\le m\le N$ and $0\le\ell\le m-1$ (less than $m-1$ is restricted by (2.14)),

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(t)\backsim\sum_{\ell\le k\le m}\left\|\left[\nabla^k\varrho(t),\nabla^k u(t)\right]\right\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which has a *minimum* derivative count ℓ. We will then close the energy estimates at each ℓ-th level in a weak sense by deriving the Lyapunov-type inequality (cf. (3.102)) for these energy functionals in which the corresponding dissipation (denoted by $\mathcal{D}_\ell^m(t)$) can be related to the energy $\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(t)$ similarly as (1.8) by the Sobolev interpolation. This can be easily established for the linear homogeneous problem along our analysis, however, for the nonlinear problem (2.6), it is much more complicated due to the nonlinear estimates. This is the second point of this paper that we will extensively and carefully use the Sobolev interpolation of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality between high-order and low-order spatial derivatives to bound the nonlinear terms by $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^{[N/2]+2}(t)}\mathcal{D}_\ell^m(t)$ that can be absorbed. When deriving the negative Sobolev estimates, we need to restrict that $s<3/2$ in order to estimate Λ^{-s} acting on the nonlinear terms by using the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, and also we need to separate the cases that $s\in(0,1/2]$ and $s\in(1/2,3/2)$. Once these estimates are obtained, Theorem 2.1 follows by the interpolation between negative and positive Sobolev norms similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.2. **Main results for Boltzmann equation.** The dynamics of dilute particles can be described by the Boltzmann equation:

$$\partial_t F+v\cdot\nabla_x F=Q(F,F), \tag{2.15}$$

with initial data $F(0,x,v)=F_0(x,v)$. Here $F=F(t,x,v)\ge 0$ is the number density function of the particles at time $t\ge 0$, position $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and velocity $v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3$. The collision between particles is given by the standard Boltzmann collision operator $Q(h_1,h_2)$ with hard-sphere interaction:

$$Q(h_1,h_2)(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}|(u-v)\cdot\omega|\{h_1(v')h_2(u')-h_1(v)h_2(u)\}\,d\omega\,du. \tag{2.16}$$

Here $\omega\in\mathbb{S}^2$, and

$$v'=v-[(v-u)\cdot\omega]\omega,\quad u'=u+[(v-u)\cdot\omega]\omega, \tag{2.17}$$

which denote velocities after a collision of particles having velocities v, u before the collision and vice versa.

We denote a normalized global Maxwellian by

$$\mu(v)=\mathrm{e}^{-|v|^2/2}, \tag{2.18}$$

and define the standard perturbation $f(t,x,v)$ to μ as

$$F=\mu+\sqrt{\mu}f. \tag{2.19}$$

The Boltzmann equation for the perturbation f now takes the form

$$\partial_t f+v\cdot\nabla_x f+Lf=\Gamma(f,f), \tag{2.20}$$

with initial data $f(0,x,v)=f_0(x,v)$. Here the linearized collision operator L is given by

$$Lh=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\{Q(\mu,\sqrt{\mu}h)+Q(\sqrt{\mu}h,\mu)\}, \tag{2.21}$$

and the nonlinear collision operator (non-symmetric) is

$$\Gamma(h_1,h_2)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}Q(\sqrt{\mu}h_1,\sqrt{\mu}h_2). \tag{2.22}$$

It is well-known that the operator $L\ge 0$, and for any fixed (t,x), the null space of L is

$$\mathcal{N}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sqrt{\mu},v\sqrt{\mu},|v|^2\sqrt{\mu}\right\}. \tag{2.23}$$

For any fixed (t,x), we define $\mathbf{P}$ as the L^2_v orthogonal projection on the null space $\mathcal{N}$. Thus for any function $f(t,x,v)$ we can decompose

$$f=\mathbf{P}f+\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f. \tag{2.24}$$

Here $\mathbf{P}f$ is called the hydrodynamic part of f, and $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ is the microscopic part.

Notation 2. In the context of the Boltzmann equation, we shall use $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ to denote the L^2 inner product in $\mathbb{R}^3_v$ with corresponding L^2 norm $|\cdot|_2$, while we use $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to denote the L^2 inner product either in $\mathbb{R}^3_x\times\mathbb{R}^3_v$ or in $\mathbb{R}^3_x$ with L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ without any ambiguity. We shall simply use L^2_x, L^2_v to denote $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_x)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ respectively, etc. We will use the notation $L^2_vH^s_x$ to denote the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v;H^s_x)$ with norm

$$\|h\|_{L^2_vH^s_x}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v}\|f\|^2_{H^s_x}\,dv\right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.25}$$

and similarly we use the notations of $L^2_v\dot{H}^s_x$, $L^2_vL^p_x$ and $L^p_xL^2_v$, etc. For the Boltzmann operator (2.16), we define the collision frequency as

$$\nu(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v-u|\mu(u)du, \tag{2.26}$$

which behaves like $1+|v|$. We define the weighted L^2 norms

$$|g|^2_\nu=|\nu^{1/2}g|^2_2,\quad \|g\|^2_\nu=\|\nu^{1/2}g\|^2. \tag{2.27}$$

We denote L^2_ν by the weighted space with norm $\|\cdot\|_\nu$.

We will apply the energy method illustrated in Theorem 1.1 to prove the L^2 optimal decay rate of the solution to the problem (2.20). Main results are stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $f_0\in L^2_vH^N_x$ for an integer $N\ge 3$. Then there exists a constant $\delta_0>0$ such that if*

$$\sum_{0\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f_0\right\|_{L^2}\le\delta_0, \tag{2.28}$$

then the problem (2.20) *admits a unique global solution $f(t,x,v)$ satisfying that for all $t\ge 0$,*

$$\sum_{0\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f(t)\right\|^2_{L^2}+\int_0^t\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f(\tau)\|^2_\nu+\sum_{1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f(\tau)\right\|^2_\nu\,d\tau\le C\sum_{0\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f_0\right\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{2.29}$$

If further, $f_0\in L^2_v\dot{H}^{-s}_x$ for some $s\in[0,3/2)$, then for all $t\ge 0$,

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f(t)\right\|_{L^2}\le C_0 \tag{2.30}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f(t)\right\|^2_{L^2}\le C_0(1+t)^{-(\ell+s)}\ \text{ for }-s<\ell\le 1, \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_0(1+t)^{-(1+s)}. \tag{2.32}$$

Furthermore, if

$$\sum_{0\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f_0\right\|_{L^2} + \|f_0\|_\nu \le \delta_0, \tag{2.33}$$

then for all $t\ge 0$,

$$\sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_0(1+t)^{-(\ell+s)} \ \text{for } -s<\ell\le N-1, \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_0(1+t)^{-(\ell+1+s)} \ \text{for } -s<\ell\le N-2. \tag{2.35}$$

Remark 2.5. *Notice that similarly to that of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations we do not need to assume that* $L^2_v\dot{H}^{-s}_x$ *norm of initial data is small and this norm enhances the decay rate of the solution. The constraint* $s<3/2$ *also comes from applying Lemma A.6 to estimate the nonlinear terms when doing the negative Sobolev estimates via* Λ^{-s}.

The proof of Theorems 2.4 will be presented in Section 4, which is also inspired by the proof of Theorem 1.1. However, similarly to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, we will be not able to close the energy estimates at each ℓ-th level and this is caused by the "degenerate" dissipative structure of the linear homogenous system of (2.20) when using our energy method. More precisely, the linear energy identity of the problem reads as:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + (L\nabla^\ell f,\nabla^\ell f) = 0. \tag{2.36}$$

It is well-known that L is only positively definite with respect to the microscopic part $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$, that is, there exists a constant $\sigma_0>0$ such that

$$(L\nabla^\ell f,\nabla^\ell f) \ge \sigma_0\left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2. \tag{2.37}$$

To rediscover the dissipative estimate for the hydrodynamic part $\mathbf{P}f$, we will use the linearized equation of (2.20) via constructing the interactive energy functional G_ℓ between $\nabla^\ell f$ and $\nabla^{\ell+1}f$ to deduce

$$\frac{dG_\ell}{dt} + \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\mathbf{P}f\right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.38}$$

This implies that to get the dissipative estimate for $\nabla^{\ell+1}\mathbf{P}f$ it requires us to do the energy estimates (2.36) at both the ℓ-th and the $\ell+1$-th levels (referring to the order of the spatial derivatives of the solution). To get around this obstacle, the idea is to construct some energy functionals $\mathcal{E}_\ell(t)$, $0\le\ell\le N-1$ (less than $N-1$ is restricted by (2.38)),

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell(t) \backsim \sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which has a *minimum* derivative count of ℓ, and we will derive the Lyapunov-type inequalities (cf. (4.57) and (4.70)) for these energy functionals in which the corresponding dissipation (denoted by $\mathcal{D}_\ell(t)$) can be related to the energy $\mathcal{E}_\ell(t)$ similarly as (1.8) by the Sobolev interpolation. This can be easily established for the linear homogeneous problem along our analysis, however, for the nonlinear problem (2.20), we shall use extensively the Sobolev interpolation of the the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (for the functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^3_x\times\mathbb{R}^3_v$) between high-order and low-order spatial derivatives to expect to bound the nonlinear terms by $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0(t)}\mathcal{D}_\ell(t)$ that can be absorbed. But this can not be achieved well at this moment and we will be left with one extra term related to a sum of velocity-weighted norms of f, as stated in (4.57). Note that when taking $\ell=0,1$ in

(4.57), we can absorb this unpleasant term. While for $\ell \geq 2$, we need to assume the weighted norm of the initial data. With the help of these weighted norms, we will succeed in removing this sum of velocity-weighted norms from the right hand side of (4.57) to get (4.70) in which we can take $\ell = 2, \ldots, N-1$. To estimate the negative Sobolev norm in Lemma 4.5, we need to restrict that $s < 3/2$ when estimating Λ^{-s} acting on the nonlinear terms, and we also need to separate the cases that $s \in (0, 1/2]$ and $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$. We remark that it is also important that we use the Minkowski's integral inequality to exchange the order of integrations in v and x in order to estimate the nonlinear terms and that we extensively use the splitting $f = \mathbf{P}f + \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f$.

We end this subsection by reviewing some previous related works on the global existence and the time decay rates of solutions to the Boltzmann equation. The existence of global solutions near Maxwellians has been established in various function spaces, see [31, 26, 28, 9, 20, 32, 10] for instance. It was also shown in [31, 28, 10] that the solutions in the periodic domain or bounded domain decay in time at the exponential rate and in [26, 32] that the solutions in the whole space decay at the optimal algebraic rate of $(1+t)^{-3/4}$ if additionally the initial perturbation is small in $L^2_v L^1_x$. On the other hand, some analogous theorems of global existence and decay rate of the solutions to the Boltzmann equation with forces have also been established; see [34, 33, 6] for the Boltzmann equation with external forces, [7, 36, 35, 3] for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system and [8, 30, 14, 4] for the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system. However, among these references the optimal decay rates of the solution have been only established under the additional assumption that the initial perturbation is small in $L^2_v L^1_x$. Based on the techniques of using an time differential inequality in [1] and the pure energy method, [33] and [36] obtained the convergence rates (but slower than the optimal rates) for the Boltzmann equation with external potential force and the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system respectively.

3. COMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

3.1. **Energy estimates.**

In this subsection, we will derive the a priori nonlinear energy estimates for the system (2.6). Hence we assume a priori that for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$,

$$\|\varrho(t)\|_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} + \|u(t)\|_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} \leq \delta. \tag{3.1}$$

First of all, by (3.1) and Sobolev's inequality, we obtain

$$\bar{\rho}/2 \leq \varrho + \bar{\rho} \leq 2\bar{\rho}. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, we immediately have

$$|h(\varrho)|, |f(\varrho)| \leq C|\varrho| \text{ and } |h^{(k)}(\varrho)|, |f^{(k)}(\varrho)| \leq C \text{ for any } k \geq 1. \tag{3.3}$$

where h and f are nonlinear functions of ϱ defined by (2.7). Next, to estimate the L^∞ norm of the spatial derivatives of h and f, we shall record the following estimate:

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $\|\varrho\|_{H^2} \leq 1$. Let $g(\varrho)$ be a smooth function of ϱ with bounded derivatives, then for any integer $m \geq 1$ we have*

$$\|\nabla^m (g(\varrho))\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla^m \varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/4} \left\|\nabla^{m+2} \varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Notice that for $m \geq 1$,

$$\nabla^m (g(\varrho)) = \text{ a sum of products } g^{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n}(\varrho) \nabla^{\gamma_1} \varrho \cdots \nabla^{\gamma_n} \varrho, \tag{3.5}$$

where the functions $g^{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_n}(\varrho)$ are some derivatives of $g(\varrho)$ and $1 \le \gamma_i \le m,\ i = 1,\dots,n$ with $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n = m$. We then use the Sobolev interpolation of Lemma A.1 to bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^m(g(\varrho))\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\nabla^{\gamma_1}\varrho\|_{L^\infty}\cdots\|\nabla^{\gamma_n}\varrho\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim \left(\|\nabla^{\gamma_1}\varrho\|_{L^2}\cdots\|\nabla^{\gamma_n}\varrho\|_{L^2}\right)^{1/4}\left(\left\|\nabla^2\nabla^{\gamma_1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\cdots\left\|\nabla^2\nabla^{\gamma_n}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\right)^{3/4}\\
&\lesssim \left(\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\gamma_1/m}\|\nabla^m\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\gamma_1/m}\cdots\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\gamma_n/m}\|\nabla^m\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\gamma_n/m}\right)^{1/4}\\
&\quad\times\left(\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\gamma_1/m}\left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\gamma_1/m}\cdots\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\gamma_n/m}\left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\gamma_n/m}\right)^{3/4}\\
&\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{H^2}^{n-1}\|\nabla^m\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

Hence, we conclude our lemma since $\|\varrho\|_{H^2} \le 1$. □

We begin with the first type of energy estimates including ρ and u themselves.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that* $0 \le k \le N-1$, *then we have*

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma|\nabla^k\varrho|^2+|\nabla^k u|^2\,dx + C\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.7}
$$

Proof. For $k=0$, multiplying $(2.6)_1, (2.6)_2$ by $\gamma\varrho, u$ respectively, summing up and then integrating the resulting over $\mathbb{R}^3$ by parts, by Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities and the fact (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma|\varrho|^2+|u|^2\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar\mu|\nabla u|^2+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\,dx\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma(-\varrho\mathrm{div}u-u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\varrho-\left(u\cdot\nabla u+h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}u)+f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot u\,dx\\
&\quad\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\varrho\|_{L^6}+\left(\|u\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}+\|\varrho\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^2 u\right\|_{L^3}+\|\varrho\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}\right)\|u\|_{L^6}\\
&\quad\lesssim \delta\left(\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

By (2.13), we obtain (3.7) for $k=0$.

Now for $1 \le k \le N-1$, applying ∇^k to $(2.6)_1, (2.6)_2$ and then multiplying the resulting identities by $\gamma\nabla^k\varrho, \nabla^k u$ respectively, summing up and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma|\nabla^k\varrho|^2+|\nabla^k u|^2\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar\mu|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)|\nabla^k\mathrm{div}u|^2\,dx\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma\nabla^k(-\varrho\mathrm{div}u-u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\nabla^k\varrho\\
&\qquad\qquad-\nabla^k\left(u\cdot\nabla u+h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}u)+f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot\nabla^k u\,dx\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma\nabla^{k-1}(\varrho\mathrm{div}u+u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\\
&\qquad\qquad+\nabla^{k-1}\left(u\cdot\nabla u+h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}u)+f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx\\
&\quad:= I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4+I_5.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

We shall estimate each term in the right hand side of (3.9). First, for the term I_1, by Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev interpolation of Lemma A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \gamma \nabla^{k-1}(\varrho \operatorname{div} u) \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \, dx \\ &= \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{0\le \ell \le k-1} C_{k-1}^{\ell} \nabla^{k-1-\ell}\varrho \nabla^{\ell} \operatorname{div} u \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0\le \ell \le k-1} \left\| \nabla^{k-1-\ell}\varrho \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \nabla^{\ell+1} u \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0\le \ell \le k-1} \left\| \nabla^{k-1-\ell}\varrho \right\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}} \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}} \left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The main idea is that we will carefully adjust the index in the right hand side of (3.10) so that it can be bounded by the right hand side of (3.7). This is the crucial point that helps us close our energy estimates at each k-th level and avoid imposing the smallness of the whole H^N norm of initial data. To this end, we use Lemma A.1 to do the interpolation

$$\left\| \nabla^{k-\ell-1}\varrho \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla^{\alpha}\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}} \left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}}, \tag{3.11}$$

where α satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k-\ell-1}{3} &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \times \frac{\ell+1}{k+1} + \left(\frac{k+1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{\ell+1}{k+1}\right) \\ \Longrightarrow \alpha &= \frac{k+1}{2(\ell+1)} \le \frac{k+1}{2} \le \left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, plugging (3.11) into (3.10), together with (3.1) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim \sum_{0\le \ell \le k-1} \delta \left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}} \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}} \left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta \left(\left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Similarly, we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \gamma \nabla^{k-1}(u \cdot \nabla\varrho) \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \, dx \\ &= \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{0\le \ell \le k-1} C_{k-1}^{\ell} \nabla^{k-1-\ell} u \cdot \nabla^{\ell} \nabla\varrho \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0\le \ell \le k-1} \left\| \nabla^{k-1-\ell} u \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \nabla^{\ell+1} \varrho \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta \left(\left\| \nabla^{k+1}\varrho \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k-1}\left(u\cdot\nabla u\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx \\ &= \gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{0\le\ell\le k-1} C_{k-1}^{\ell}\nabla^{k-1-\ell}u\cdot\nabla^{\ell}\nabla u\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\,dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0\le\ell\le k-1}\left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}u\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Next, we estimate the term I_4. First, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k-1}\left(h(\varrho)(\bar{\mu}\Delta u+(\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})\nabla\mathrm{div}u)\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k-1}\left(h(\varrho)\nabla^2u\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{0\le\ell\le k-1}C_{k-1}^{\ell}\nabla^{k-1-\ell}h(\varrho)\nabla^{\ell}\nabla^2u\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0\le\ell\le k-1}\left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}h(\varrho)\nabla^{\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

We shall separate the cases in the summation of (3.16). For $\ell = k-1$, we have

$$\left\|h(\varrho)\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|h(\varrho)\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\delta\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2; \tag{3.17}$$

for $\ell = k-2$, by Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities, we have

$$\left\|\nabla(h(\varrho))\nabla^{k}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|h'(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\|_{L^3}\left\|\nabla^{k}u\right\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\delta\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2; \tag{3.18}$$

and for $0\le\ell\le k-3$, noticing that $k-\ell\ge 3$, we may then use Lemma 3.1, together with Lemma A.1, to bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}(h(\varrho))\right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{k+1-\ell}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4} \\ &\lesssim \left(\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{k-1-\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{k-1-\ell}{k+1}}\right)^{1/4}\left(\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{k+1-\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{k+1-\ell}{k+1}}\right)^{3/4} \\ &\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Therefore, by (3.19) and using Lemma A.1 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}h(\varrho)\nabla^{\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad\lesssim \left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}h(\varrho)\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\|\nabla^{\alpha}u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad\lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell+2=\alpha\times\left(1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}\right)+(k+1)\times\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)} \\ &\Longrightarrow \alpha=\frac{3(k+1)}{2(k-\ell)+1}\le\frac{3(k+1)}{7}\le\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]+1 \text{ since } k-\ell\ge 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

In light of (3.17), (3.18) and (3.20), we find

$$I_4\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.22}$$

Finally, it remains to estimate the last term I_5. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_5&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k-1}\left(f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx \\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{0\le\ell\le k-1}C_{k-1}^{\ell}\nabla^{k-1-\ell}f(\varrho)\nabla^{\ell}\nabla\varrho\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx \\ &\lesssim\sum_{0\le\ell\le k-1}\left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}f(\varrho)\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We shall separate the cases in the summation of (3.23). For $\ell=k-1$, we have

$$\left\|f(\varrho)\nabla^k\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|\varrho\|_{L^3}\left\|\nabla^k\varrho\right\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.24}$$

For $0\le\ell\le k-2$, similarly as in (3.19)–(3.21), by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma A.1, we may bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\nabla^{k-1-\ell}f(\varrho)\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim\delta^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{\alpha}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell+1=\alpha\times\left(1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}\right)+(k+1)\times\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)} \\ &\Longrightarrow \alpha=\frac{k+1}{2(k-\ell)}+1\le\frac{k+1}{5}\le\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]+1 \text{ since } k-\ell\ge 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

In light of (3.24) and (3.25), we find

$$I_5\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.27}$$

Summing up the estimates for $I_1\sim I_5$, *i.e.*, (3.13), (3.14), (3.15), (3.22) and (3.27), we deduce (3.7) for $1\le k\le N-1$. □

Next, we derive the second type of energy estimates excluding ρ and u themselves.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that* $0\le k\le N-1$*, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho|^2+|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2\,dx+C\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. Let $0\le k\le N-1$. Applying ∇^{k+1} to $(2.6)_1,(2.6)_2$ and multiplying by $\gamma\nabla^{k+1}\varrho$, $\nabla^{k+1}u$ respectively, summing up and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho|^2+|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar\mu|\nabla^{k+2}u|^2+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)|\nabla^{k+1}\mathrm{div}u|^2\,dx\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma\nabla^{k+1}(-\varrho\mathrm{div}u-u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\\
&\qquad\quad-\nabla^{k+1}\left(u\cdot\nabla u+h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}u)+f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u\,dx\\
&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\gamma\nabla^{k+1}(-\varrho\mathrm{div}u-u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\\
&\qquad\quad+\nabla^{k}\left(u\cdot\nabla u+h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}u)+f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+2}u\,dx\\
&\quad:=J_1+J_2+J_3+J_4+J_5.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

We shall estimate each term in the right hand side of (3.29). First, we split J_1 as:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1&=-\gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k+1}(\varrho\mathrm{div}u)\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\,dx\\
&=-\gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\varrho\nabla^{k+1}\mathrm{div}u+C_{k+1}^1\nabla\varrho\nabla^k\mathrm{div}u+C_{k+1}^2\nabla^2\varrho\nabla^{k-1}\mathrm{div}u\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{3\le\ell\le k+1}C_{k+1}^\ell\nabla^\ell\varrho\nabla^{k+1-\ell}\mathrm{div}u\Big)\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\,dx\\
&:=J_{11}+J_{12}+J_{13}+J_{14}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

Hereafter, it it happens to be the case $\ell>k+1$, etc., then it means nothing. By Hölder's, Sobolev's and Cauchy's inequalities, we obtain

$$J_{11}\lesssim\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)\tag{3.31}$$

and

$$J_{12}\lesssim\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^3}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\delta(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2),\tag{3.32}$$

and

$$J_{13}\lesssim\|\nabla^2\varrho\|_{L^3}\left\|\nabla^{k}u\right\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right).\tag{3.33}$$

While for the last term J_{14}, noting that now $k+2-\ell\le k-1$, by Hölder's inequality and Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{14}&\lesssim\sum_{2\le\ell\le k+1}\left\|\nabla^\ell\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+2-\ell}u\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{2\le\ell\le k+1}\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\|\nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{k-\ell+2}{3}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{3}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\times\frac{\ell}{k+1}+\left(\frac{k+2}{3}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\times\left(1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}\right)\\
&\Longrightarrow\alpha=\frac{3(k+1)}{2\ell}+1\le\frac{k+3}{2}\le\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]+2\ \text{ since }\ell\ge3.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

In light of (3.31), (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34), we find

$$J_1 \lesssim \delta \left(\left\| \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla^{k+2} u \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \tag{3.36}$$

Next, for the term J_2, we utilize the commutator notation (A.7) to rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= -\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k+1}(u \cdot \nabla \varrho) \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \, dx \\ &= -\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(u \cdot \nabla \nabla^{k+1} \varrho + [\nabla^{k+1}, u] \cdot \nabla \varrho \right) \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \, dx \\ &:= J_{21} + J_{22}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

By integrating by part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{21} &= -\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla \frac{|\nabla^{k+1} \varrho|^2}{2} \, dx = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div} u \, |\nabla^{k+1} \varrho|^2 \, dx \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta \left\| \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \right\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

We use the commutator estimate of Lemma A.3 to bound

$$\begin{aligned} J_{22} &\lesssim \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \nabla^k \nabla \varrho \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left\| \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta \left(\left\| \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

In light of (3.38) and (3.39), we find

$$J_2 \lesssim \delta \left(\left\| \nabla^{k+1} \varrho \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla^{k+1} u \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \tag{3.40}$$

Now we estimate the term J_3. By Hölder's inequality and Lemma A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \left(u \cdot \nabla u \right) \cdot \nabla^{k+2} u \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{0 \le \ell \le k} C_k^\ell \left(\nabla^{k-\ell} u \cdot \nabla \nabla^\ell u \right) \cdot \nabla^{k+2} u \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0 \le \ell \le k} \left\| \nabla^{k-\ell} u \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \nabla^{\ell+1} u \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \nabla^{k+2} u \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0 \le \ell \le k} \|\nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell+1}{k+2}} \left\| \nabla^{k+2} u \right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell+1}{k+2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell+1}{k+2}} \left\| \nabla^{k+2} u \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell+1}{k+2}} \left\| \nabla^{k+2} u \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta \left\| \nabla^{k+2} u \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{k-\ell}{3} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \times \frac{\ell+1}{k+2} + \left(\frac{k+2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \times \left(1 - \frac{\ell+1}{k+2} \right) \\ &\Longrightarrow \alpha = \frac{k+2}{2(\ell+1)} \le \frac{k+2}{2} \le \left[\frac{N}{2} \right] + 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Next, we estimate the term J_4, and we do the splitting

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \left(h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u + (\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div} u)\right)\cdot \nabla^{k+2}u\,dx \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \left(h(\varrho)\nabla^2 u\right)\cdot \nabla^{k+2}u\,dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big(h(\varrho)\nabla^{k+2}u + C_k^1\nabla(h(\varrho))\nabla^{k+1}u + C_k^2\nabla^2(h(\varrho))\nabla^k u \\ &\qquad\quad + \sum_{3\le\ell\le k} C_k^\ell \nabla^\ell(h(\varrho))\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u\Big)\cdot\nabla^{k+2}u\,dx \\ &:= J_{41}+J_{42}+J_{43}+J_{44}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

The first three terms can be easily bounded by

$$J_{41} \lesssim \|h(\varrho)\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \tag{3.44}$$

and

$$J_{42} \lesssim \left\|h'(\varrho)\right\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^3}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{3.45}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_{43} &\lesssim \left(\left\|h''(\varrho)\right\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^3} + \left\|h'(\varrho)\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^3}\right)\left\|\nabla^k u\right\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

The last term J_{44} is much more complicated. We shall split it further as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} J_{44} &\lesssim \sum_{3\le\ell\le k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^\ell(h(\varrho))||\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u||\nabla^{k+2}u|\,dx \\ &= \sum_{3\le\ell\le k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{\ell-1}(h'(\varrho)\nabla\varrho)||\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u||\nabla^{k+2}u|\,dx \\ &\le \sum_{3\le\ell\le k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\left|h'(\varrho)\nabla^\ell\varrho\right| + \sum_{1\le m\le \ell-1}\left|\nabla^m(h'(\varrho))\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right|\right)|\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u||\nabla^{k+2}u|\,dx \\ &:= J_{441}+J_{442}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

Since $k-\ell+2\le k-1$, we may use Hölder's inequality and Lemma A.1 to bound

$$\begin{aligned} J_{441} &\lesssim \sum_{3\le\ell\le k}\left\|\nabla^\ell\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{3\le\ell\le k}\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\|\nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{k-\ell+2}{3} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{3}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\times\frac{\ell}{k+1} + \left(\frac{k+2}{3}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\times\left(1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}\right) \\ &\Longrightarrow \alpha = \frac{3(k+1)}{2\ell}+1 \le \frac{k+3}{2} \le \left[\frac{N}{2}\right]+2 \ \text{ since } \ell\ge 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

For the term J_{442}, noting that $1 \le m \le \ell - 1 \le k - 1$, we may then use Hölder's inequality, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma A.1 to bound

$$\begin{aligned} J_{442} &\lesssim \sum_{3\le \ell\le k}\sum_{1\le m\le \ell-1} \left\|\nabla^m(h'(\varrho))\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{3\le \ell\le k}\sum_{1\le m\le \ell-1} \left\|\nabla^m\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

To estimate the right hand side of (3.50), we divide it into two cases.

Case 1: For $m = 1$ or $m = \ell - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\nabla\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^3\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\\ &\quad\lesssim \delta\left\|\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac14\left(1-\frac{\ell-1}{k+1}\right)}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac14\left(\frac{\ell-1}{k+1}\right)}\left\|\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac34\left(1-\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}\right)}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac34\left(\frac{\ell+1}{k+1}\right)}\\ &\quad\lesssim \delta^{2-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Hence, by (3.51) and using Lemma A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\nabla\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^3\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\quad\lesssim \delta^{2-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{\alpha}u\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\quad\lesssim \delta^2\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} k-\ell+2 &= \alpha\times\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}+(k+1)\times\left(1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}\right)\\ &\Longrightarrow \alpha=\frac{3(k+1)}{2\ell+1}\le\frac{3(k+1)}{7}\le\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]+1\ \text{ since } \ell\ge 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

Case 2: For $2 \le m \le \ell - 2$, noting also that $\ell - m \ge 2$, then we bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\nabla^m\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\\ &\lesssim \left(\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{m-2}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{m-2}{k-1}}\right)^{1/4}\left(\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{m}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{m}{k-1}}\right)^{3/4}\\ &\quad\times\left(\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell-m-2}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-m-2}{k-1}}\right)^{1/4}\left(\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell-m}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-m}{k-1}}\right)^{3/4}\\ &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Hence, by (3.54) and using Lemma A.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^m \varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/4} \|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\|_{L^2}^{3/4} \left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4} \left\|\nabla^{\ell-m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4} \left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \delta^{2-\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}} \|\nabla^{\alpha}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \delta^2 \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned}
&k-\ell+2 = \alpha \times \frac{\ell-1}{k-1} + (k+1) \times \left(1 - \frac{\ell-1}{k-1}\right) \\
&\quad \Longrightarrow \alpha = 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Therefore, we deduce from the two cases above that

$$J_{442} \lesssim \delta^2 \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right), \tag{3.57}$$

and this together with (3.48) implies

$$J_{44} \lesssim \delta \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.58}$$

By the estimates (3.44), (3.45), (3.46) and (3.58), we obtain

$$J_4 \lesssim \delta \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.59}$$

Finally, it remains to estimate the last term J_5. To begin with, we split

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \left(f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right) \cdot \nabla^{k+2}u \, dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(f(\varrho)\nabla^{k+1}\varrho + C_k^1 \nabla(f(\varrho))\nabla^k\varrho + \sum_{2\le\ell\le k} C_k^\ell \nabla^\ell(f(\varrho))\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho\right) \cdot \nabla^{k+2}u \, dx \\
&:= J_{51} + J_{52} + J_{53}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

The first two terms can be easily bounded by

$$J_{51} \lesssim \|f(\varrho)\|_{L^\infty} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right) \tag{3.61}$$

and

$$J_{52} \lesssim \|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^3} \left\|\nabla^k\varrho\right\|_{L^6} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.62}$$

We now focus on the most delicate term J_{53}. We shall split it further as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} J_{53} &\lesssim \sum_{2\le \ell\le k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^\ell (f(\varrho))| |\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho| |\nabla^{k+2}u|\,dx \\ &= \sum_{2\le \ell\le k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{\ell-1} (f'(\varrho)\nabla\varrho)| |\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho| |\nabla^{k+2}u|\,dx \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|f'(\varrho)\nabla^2\varrho| + |f''(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\nabla\varrho|\right) |\nabla^{k-1}\varrho| |\nabla^{k+2}u|\,dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{3\le \ell\le k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|f'(\varrho)\nabla^\ell\varrho| + \sum_{1\le m\le \ell-1} |\nabla^m (f'(\varrho))\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho| \right) |\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho| |\nabla^{k+2}u|\,dx \\ &:= J_{531} + J_{532} + J_{533}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

By Hölder's inequality, Lemma A.1, we estimate J_{531} by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{531} &\lesssim \left(\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^6}\right) \left\|\nabla^{k-1}\varrho\right\|_{L^\infty} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\frac12}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{k+\frac12}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac34} \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac14-\frac{\frac12}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\frac12}{k+1}} \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\frac12}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{k+\frac12}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

Since $\ell \ge 3$, $k-\ell+1 \le k-2$, we may use Hölder's inequality and Lemma A.1 to bound J_{532} by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{532} &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^\ell\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^\infty} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k+1}} \|\nabla^\alpha\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell}{k+1}} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k-\ell+1}{3} &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{3} - \frac12\right) \times \frac{\ell}{k+1} + \left(\frac{k+1}{3} - \frac12\right) \times (1 - \frac{\ell}{k+1}) \\ \Longrightarrow \alpha &= \frac{3(k+1)}{2\ell} \le \frac{k+1}{2} \le \left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 1 \text{ since } \ell \ge 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

For the term J_{533}, noticing that $1 \le m \le \ell - 1 \le k - 1$, we may then use Hölder's inequality, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma A.1 to bound

$$\begin{aligned} J_{533} &\lesssim \sum_{3\le \ell\le k} \sum_{1\le m\le \ell-1} \|\nabla^m (h'(\varrho))\|_{L^\infty} \left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^\infty} \left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{3\le \ell\le k} \sum_{1\le m\le \ell-1} \|\nabla^m\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/4} \left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4} \left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4} \left\|\nabla^{\ell-m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4} \\ &\qquad \times \left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

To estimate the right hand side of (3.67), we divide it into two cases.

Case 1: For $m=1$ or $m=\ell-1$, similarly as in (3.51)–(3.53), by Lemma A.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^3\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad\lesssim \delta^{2-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\|\nabla^{\alpha}\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad\lesssim \delta^{2}\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.68}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned}
k-\ell+1&=\alpha\times\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}+(k+1)\times\left(1-\frac{2\ell+1}{2(k+1)}\right)\\
\Longrightarrow \alpha&=\frac{k+1}{2\ell+1}\le\frac{k+1}{7}\le\left[\frac{N}{7}\right]+1\ \text{ since } \ell\ge 3.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.69}$$

Case 2: For $2\le m\le \ell-2$, noting also that $\ell-m\ge 2$, as in (3.54), we may bound

$$\|\nabla^{m}\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\lesssim\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|^{2-\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}.\tag{3.70}$$

Notice that we may not simply let $\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|\lesssim\delta$ as in (3.55) since it would be out of reach for some ℓ. We will adjust the index as follows, by Lemma A.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|^{2-\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}&\lesssim\delta^{2-\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}-\frac{k+1}{2(k-1)}}\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{k+1}{2(k-1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}\\
&\lesssim\delta^{2-\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}-\frac{k+1}{2(k-1)}}\left(\|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{k+1}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2(k-1)}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{k-1}}\\
&\lesssim\delta^{2-\frac{\ell}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k-1}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.71}$$

Hence, by (3.70)–(3.71) and using Lemma A.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^{m}\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/4}\left\|\nabla^{\ell-m+2}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{3/4}\left\|\nabla^{k-\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad\lesssim\delta^{2-\frac{\ell}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k-1}}\|\nabla^{\alpha}\varrho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\ell}{k-1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad\lesssim\delta^{2}\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.72}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned}
k-\ell+1&=\alpha\times\frac{\ell}{k-1}+(k+1)\times\left(1-\frac{\ell}{k-1}\right)\\
\Longrightarrow \alpha&=2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.73}$$

Therefore, we deduce from the two cases above that

$$J_{533}\lesssim\delta^{2}\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^{2}\right),\tag{3.74}$$

and this together with (3.64) and (3.65) implies

$$J_{53}\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^{2}\right).\tag{3.75}$$

By the estimates (3.61), (3.62) and (3.75), we obtain

$$J_5 \lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.76}$$

Summing up the estimates for $J_1 \sim J_5$, *i.e.*, (3.36), (3.40), (3.41), (3.59) and (3.76), by (2.13), we deduce (3.28) for $0 \le k \le N-1$. ☐

Now, we will use the equations (2.6) to recover the dissipation estimate for ϱ.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that* $0 \le k \le N-1$, *then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k u\cdot\nabla\nabla^k\varrho\,dx+C\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.77}$$

Proof. Let $0 \le k \le N-1$. Applying ∇^k to $(2.6)_2$ and then multiplying by $\nabla\nabla^k\varrho$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma\bar\rho\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho|^2\,dx \le{}& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\partial_t u\cdot\nabla\nabla^k\varrho\,dx+C\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}\\ &+\left\|\nabla^k\left(u\cdot\nabla u+h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}u)+f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

The delicate first term in the right hand side of (3.78) involves $\nabla^k\partial_t u$, and the key idea is to integrate by parts in the t-variable and use the continuity equation. Thus integrating by parts for both the t- and x-variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\partial_t u\cdot\nabla\nabla^k\varrho\,dx\\ &=-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k u\cdot\nabla\nabla^k\varrho\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\mathrm{div}u\cdot\nabla^k\partial_t\varrho\,dx\\ &=-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k u\cdot\nabla\nabla^k\varrho\,dx+\bar\rho\left\|\nabla^k\mathrm{div}u\right\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\mathrm{div}u\cdot\nabla^k(\varrho\mathrm{div}u+u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\,dx.\end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

For $k=0$, we easily bound the last term in (3.79) by

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}u\cdot(\varrho\mathrm{div}u+u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\,dx \lesssim \delta\left(\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.80}$$

For $1 \le k \le N-1$, we integrate by parts to have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\mathrm{div}u\cdot\nabla^k(\varrho\mathrm{div}u+u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\,dx=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k+1}\mathrm{div}u\cdot\nabla^{k-1}(\varrho\mathrm{div}u+u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\,dx. \tag{3.81}$$

Recalling from the derivations of the estimates of I_1 and I_2 in Lemma 3.2, we have already proved that

$$\left\|\nabla^{k-1}\left(\varrho\mathrm{div}u+u\cdot\nabla\varrho\right)\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}\right). \tag{3.82}$$

Thus, in view of (3.79)–(3.82), together with Cauchy's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k u_t\cdot\nabla\nabla^k\varrho\,dx\\ &\le-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k u\cdot\nabla\nabla^k\varrho\,dx+C\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\delta\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

On the other hand, recalling the derivations of the estimates of J_3, J_4 and J_5 in Lemma 3.3, we have already proved that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\nabla^k\left(u\cdot\nabla u+h(\varrho)(\bar\mu\Delta u+(\bar\mu+\bar\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}u)+f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim\delta\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}u\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\nabla^{k+2}u\right\|_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.84}$$

Plugging the estimates (3.83)–(3.84) into (3.78), by Cauchy's inequality, since δ is small, we then obtain (3.77). ☐

3.2. **Energy evolution of negative Sobolev norms.** In this subsection, we will derive the evolution of the negative Sobolev norms of the solution. In order to estimate the nonlinear terms, we need to restrict ourselves to that $s \in (0, 3/2)$. We will establish the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *For $s \in (0, 1/2]$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \gamma|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho|^2 + |\Lambda^{-s}u|^2\,dx + C\left\|\nabla\Lambda^{-s}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla\varrho, \nabla u\|_{H^1}^2 \left(\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\Lambda^{-s}u\right\|_{L^2}\right); \tag{3.85}$$

and for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \gamma|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho|^2 + |\Lambda^{-s}u|^2\,dx + C\left\|\nabla\Lambda^{-s}u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad\lesssim \|(\varrho, u)\|_{L^2}^{s-1/2}\|(\nabla\varrho, \nabla u)\|_{H^1}^{5/2-s}\left(\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\Lambda^{-s}u\right\|_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.86}$$

Proof. Applying Λ^{-s} to $(2.6)_1, (2.6)_2$ and multiplying the resulting by $\gamma\Lambda^{-s}\varrho, \Lambda^{-s}u$ respectively, summing up and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \gamma|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho|^2 + |\Lambda^{-s}u|^2\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{\mu}|\nabla\Lambda^{-s}u|^2 + (\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})|\mathrm{div}\Lambda^{-s}u|^2\,dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \gamma\Lambda^{-s}(-\varrho\mathrm{div}u - u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\Lambda^{-s}\varrho \\ &\quad - \Lambda^{-s}\left(u\cdot\nabla u + h(\varrho)(\bar{\mu}\Delta u + (\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})\nabla\mathrm{div}u) + f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot\Lambda^{-s}u\,dx \\ &:= W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + W_4 + W_5.\end{aligned} \tag{3.87}$$

We now restrict the value of s in order to estimate the nonlinear terms in the right hand side of (3.87). If $s \in (0, 1/2]$, then $1/2 + s/3 < 1$ and $3/s \geq 6$. Then using the estimate (A.14) of Riesz potential in Lemma A.6 and the Sobolev interpolation of Lemma A.1, together with Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}W_1 &= -\gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{-s}(\varrho\mathrm{div}u)\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\,dx \lesssim \left\|\Lambda^{-s}(\varrho\mathrm{div}u)\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\varrho\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1/2+s/3}}}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^{3/s}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^{1/2-s}\left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^{1/2+s}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right)\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

Similarly, we can bound the remaining terms by

$$W_2 = -\gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{-s}(u\cdot\nabla\varrho)\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\,dx \lesssim \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2 u\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^2\right)\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.89}$$

$$W_3 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{-s}\left(u\cdot\nabla u\right)\cdot\Lambda^{-s}u\,dx \lesssim \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2 u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)\left\|\Lambda^{-s}u\right\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.90}$$

$$\begin{aligned}W_4 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{-s}\left(h(\varrho)(\bar{\mu}\Delta u + (\bar{\mu}+\bar{\lambda})\nabla\mathrm{div}u)\right)\Lambda^{-s}u\,dx \\ &\lesssim \left(\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2 u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)\left\|\Lambda^{-s}u\right\|_{L^2},\end{aligned} \tag{3.91}$$

$$W_5 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Lambda^{-s}\left(f(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\right)\cdot\Lambda^{-s}u\,dx \lesssim \left(\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2\varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)\left\|\Lambda^{-s}u\right\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.92}$$

Hence, plugging the estimates (3.88)–(3.92) into (3.87), we deduce (3.85).

Now if $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, we shall estimate the right hand side of (3.87), *i.e.*, $W_1 \sim W_5$ in a different way. Since $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, we have that $1/2 + s/3 < 1$ and $2 < 3/s < 6$. Then using the (different) Sobolev interpolation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 = -\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s}(\varrho \mathrm{div} u)\Lambda^{-s}\varrho \, dx &\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^{3/s}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{s-1/2} \|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^2}^{3/2-s} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.93}$$

$$W_2 = -\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s}(u \cdot \nabla \varrho)\Lambda^{-s}\varrho \, dx \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^{s-1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{3/2-s} \|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^2} \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.94}$$

$$W_3 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s}\left(u \cdot \nabla u\right) \cdot \Lambda^{-s} u \, dx \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^{s-1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{3/2-s} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \left\|\Lambda^{-s} u\right\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.95}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_4 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s}\left(h(\varrho)(\bar{\mu}\Delta u + (\bar{\mu} + \bar{\lambda})\nabla \mathrm{div} u)\right) \Lambda^{-s} u \, dx \\ &\lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{s-1/2} \|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^2}^{3/2-s} \left\|\nabla^2 u\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\Lambda^{-s} u\right\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.96}$$

$$W_5 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s}\left(f(\varrho)\nabla \varrho\right) \cdot \Lambda^{-s} u \, dx \lesssim \|\varrho\|_{L^2}^{s-1/2} \|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^2}^{3/2-s} \|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^2} \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho\right\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.97}$$

Hence, plugging the estimates (3.93)–(3.97) into (3.87), we deduce (3.86). □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.**

In this subsection, we shall combine all the energy estimates that we have derived in the previous two subsections to prove Theorem 2.1.

We first close the energy estimates at each ℓ-th level in our weaker sense. Let $N \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$ with $[\frac{N}{2}] + 2 \leq m \leq N$. Summing up the estimates (3.7) of Lemma 3.2 for from $k = \ell$ to $m-1$, and then adding the resulting estimates with the estimates (3.28) of Lemma 3.3 for $k = m-1$, by changing the index and since δ is small, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\ell \leq k \leq m} \left(\gamma \left\|\nabla^k \varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^k u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right) + C_1 \sum_{\ell+1 \leq k \leq m+1} \left\|\nabla^k u\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2 \delta \sum_{\ell+1 \leq k \leq m} \left\|\nabla^k \varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.98}$$

Summing up the estimates (3.77) of Lemma 3.4 for from $k = \ell$ to $m-1$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\ell \leq k \leq m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k u \cdot \nabla \nabla^k \varrho \, dx + C_3 \sum_{\ell+1 \leq k \leq m} \left\|\nabla^k \varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_4 \sum_{\ell+1 \leq k \leq m+1} \left\|\nabla^k u\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.99}$$

Multiplying (3.99) by $2C_2\delta/C_3$, adding it with (3.98), since $\delta > 0$ is small, we deduce that there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that for $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\sum_{\ell \leq k \leq m} \left(\gamma \left\|\nabla^k \varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^k u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right) + \frac{2C_1\delta}{C_3} \sum_{\ell \leq k \leq m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k u \cdot \nabla \nabla^k \varrho \, dx\right\} \\ &\quad + C_5 \left\{\sum_{\ell+1 \leq k \leq m} \left\|\nabla^k \varrho\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{\ell+1 \leq k \leq m+1} \left\|\nabla^k u\right\|_{L^2}^2\right\} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.100}$$

Next, we define $\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(t)$ to be C_5^{-1} times the expression under the time derivative in (3.100). Observe that since δ is small $\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(t)$ is equivalent to $\left\|\nabla^\ell \varrho(t)\right\|_{H^{m-\ell}}^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{H^{m-\ell}}^2$, that is, there exists a constant $C_6 > 0$ such that for $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$,

$$C_6^{-1}\left\|\nabla^\ell \varrho(t)\right\|_{H^{m-\ell}}^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{H^{m-\ell}}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_\ell^m(t) \leq C_6 \left\|\nabla^\ell \varrho(t)\right\|_{H^{m-\ell}}^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{H^{m-\ell}}^2. \tag{3.101}$$

Then we may write (3.100) as that for $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_\ell^m + \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|_{H^{m-\ell-1}}^2 + \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} u\right\|_{H^{m-\ell}}^2 \leq 0. \tag{3.102}$$

Proof of (2.9). Taking $\ell = 0$ and $m = [\frac{N}{2}] + 2$ in (3.102), and then integrating directly in time, in light of (3.101), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varrho(t)\|^2_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} + \|u(t)\|^2_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} &\le C_6 \mathcal{E}_0^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}(t) \le C_6 \mathcal{E}_0^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}(0) \\ &\le C_6^2 \left(\|\varrho_0\|^2_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} + \|u_0\|^2_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.103}$$

By a standard continuity argument, this closes the a priori estimates (3.1) if we assume $\|\varrho_0\|_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} + \|u_0\|_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} \le \delta_0$ is sufficiently small. This in turn allows us to take $\ell = 0$ and $[\frac{N}{2}] + 2 \le m \le N$ in (3.102), and then integrate it directly in time, to obtain

$$\|\varrho(t)\|^2_{H^m} + \|u(t)\|^2_{H^m} + \int_0^t \|\nabla\varrho(\tau)\|^2_{H^{m-1}} + \|\nabla u(\tau)\|^2_{H^m}\, d\tau \le C_4^2 \left(\|\varrho_0\|^2_{H^m} + \|u_0\|^2_{H^m} \right). \tag{3.104}$$

This proves (2.9). □

Now we turn to prove (2.10)–(2.11). However, we are not able to prove them for all $s \in [0, 3/2)$ at this moment. We shall first prove them for $s \in [0, 1/2]$.

Proof of (2.10)–(2.11) *for* $s \in [0, 1/2]$. We define $\mathcal{E}_{-s}(t)$ to be the expression under the time derivative in the estimates (3.85)–(3.86) of Lemma 3.5, which is equivalent to $\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{-s}u(t)\|^2_{L^2}$. Then, integrating in time (3.85), by (2.9), we obtain that for $s \in (0, 1/2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{-s}(t) &\le \mathcal{E}_{-s}(0) + C \int_0^t \|\nabla\varrho(\tau), \nabla u(\tau)\|^2_{H^1} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{-s}(\tau)}\, d\tau \\ &\le C_0 \left(1 + \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{-s}(\tau)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.105}$$

This implies (2.10) for $s \in [0, 1/2]$, that is,

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\varrho(t)\right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\|\Lambda^{-s}u(t)\right\|^2_{L^2} \le C_0 \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1/2]. \tag{3.106}$$

If $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$, we may use Lemma A.4 to have

$$\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} f\right\|_{L^2} \ge C \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^{-\frac{1}{\ell+s}} \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}}. \tag{3.107}$$

By this fact and (3.106), we may find

$$\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}u\right\|^2_{L^2} \ge C_0 \left(\left\|\nabla^\ell\varrho\right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^\ell u\right\|^2_{L^2} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}}. \tag{3.108}$$

This together with (2.9) implies in particular that for $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$,

$$\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\varrho\right\|^2_{H^{N-\ell-1}} + \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}u\right\|^2_{H^{N-\ell-1}} \ge C_0 \left(\left\|\nabla^\ell\varrho\right\|^2_{H^{N-\ell}} + \left\|\nabla^\ell u\right\|^2_{H^{N-\ell}} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}}. \tag{3.109}$$

In view of (3.101) and (3.109), we then deduce from (3.102) with $m = N$ the following time differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_\ell^N + C_0 \left(\mathcal{E}_\ell^N\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}} \le 0 \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, \dots, N-1. \tag{3.110}$$

Solving this inequality directly gives, together with (3.104),

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell^N(t) \le C_0 (1+t)^{-(\ell+s)} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, \dots, N-1. \tag{3.111}$$

Consequently, in view of (3.101), we obtain from (3.111) that for $s \in [0, 1/2]$,

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell\varrho(t)\right\|^2_{H^{N-\ell}} + \left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|^2_{H^{N-\ell}} \le C_0 (1+t)^{-(\ell+s)} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, \dots, N-1. \tag{3.112}$$

Thus, by (3.112), (2.9) and the interpolation, we deduce (2.11) for $s \in [0, 1/2]$. $\square$

Proof of (2.10)–(2.11) *for* $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$. Notice that the arguments for the case $s \in [0, 1/2]$ can not be applied to this case. However, observing that we have $\varrho_0, u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-1/2}$ since $\dot{H}^{-s} \cap L^2 \subset \dot{H}^{-s'}$ for any $s' \in [0, s]$, we then deduce from what we have proved for (2.10) and (2.11) with $s = 1/2$ that the following decay result holds:

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell \varrho(t)\right\|_{H^{N-\ell}}^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell u(t)\right\|_{H^{N-\ell}}^2 \le C_0 (1+t)^{-(\ell+1/2)} \text{ for } -\frac{1}{2} \le \ell \le N-1. \tag{3.113}$$

Hence, by (3.113), we deduce from (3.86) that for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{-s}(t) &\le \mathcal{E}_{-s}(0) + C \int_0^t \left(\|(\varrho, u)\|_{L^2}^{s-1/2} \|(\nabla\varrho, \nabla u)\|_{H^1}^{5/2-s} \right) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{-s}(\tau)}\, d\tau \\ &\le C_0 + C_0 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-(7/4-s/2)}\, d\tau \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{-s}(\tau)} \\ &\le C_0 \left(1 + \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{-s}(\tau)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.114}$$

This implies (2.10) for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, that is,

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s} \varrho(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\Lambda^{-s} u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_0 \text{ for } s \in (1/2, 3/2). \tag{3.115}$$

Now that we have proved (3.115), we may repeat the arguments leading to (2.11) for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$ to prove that it holds for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

4. BOLTZMANN EQUATION

4.1. **Energy estimates.** In this subsection, we will derive the a priori nonlinear energy estimates for the equation (2.20). We first derive the following standard energy estimates:

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $k = 0, \dots, N$, *then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma_0 \left\|\nabla^k \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\right\|_\nu^2 \lesssim \sum_{|\gamma_1| \le k} \left\| |\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f|_2 |\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|} f|_\nu \right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Applying ∂^γ with $|\gamma| = k$, $0 \le k \le N$ to (2.20), multiplying the resulting identity by $\partial^\gamma f$ and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial^\gamma f\|_{L^2}^2 + (L \partial^\gamma f, \partial^\gamma f) = (\partial^\gamma \Gamma(f, f), \partial^\gamma f). \tag{4.2}$$

The estimate (A.19) of Lemma A.8 implies

$$(L \partial^\gamma f, \partial^\gamma f) \ge \sigma_0 \|\partial^\gamma \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_\nu^2. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, by the collision invariant property, the estimate (A.22) (with $\eta = 1/2$) of Lemma A.9 and symmetry, together with Cauchy's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^\gamma \Gamma(f, f), \partial^\gamma f) &= \sum_{\gamma_1 \le \gamma} C_\gamma^{\gamma_1} (\Gamma(\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1} f, \partial^{\gamma_1} f), \partial^\gamma \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f) \\ &\le C \sum_{\gamma_1 \le \gamma} |\nu^{-1/2} \Gamma(\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1} f, \partial^{\gamma_1} f)|_2 |\nu^{1/2} \partial^\gamma \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f|_2 \\ &\le C \sum_{\gamma_1 \le \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_x} |\partial^{\gamma_1} f|_2 |\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1} f|_\nu |\partial^\gamma \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f|_\nu \, dx \\ &\le C \sum_{|\gamma_1| \le k} \left\| |\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f|_2 |\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|} f|_\nu \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\sigma_0}{2} \|\partial^\gamma \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_\nu^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Hence, by the estimates (4.3)–(4.4), we deduce (4.1) from (4.2). □

Next, notice that the dissipation estimate in (4.1) is degenerate, and it only controls the microscopic part $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$. Hence, in order to get the full dissipation estimate we shall use the equation (2.20) to estimate the hydrodynamic part $\mathbf{P}f$ in terms of the microscopic part.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $N\geq 3$. If $\|f(t)\|_{L^2_vH^N_x}\lesssim\delta$, then we have that for $k=0,\dots,N-1$, there exists a constant $C_1>0$ such that*

$$\frac{dG_k}{dt}+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\mathbf{P}f\right\|_{L^2}^2\leq C_1\left\{\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2\right\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Here $G_k(t)$ is defined by (4.9) with the property

$$|G_k|\lesssim\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We represent $\mathbf{P}f$ as

$$\mathbf{P}f=\left\{a(t,x)+b(t,x)\cdot v+c(t,x)|v|^2\right\}\sqrt{\mu}. \tag{4.7}$$

Then for each $k=0,\dots,N-1$, it follows from Lemma 6.1 of [10] that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\mathbf{P}f\right\|_{L^2}^2\leq\frac{dG_k}{dt}+C\left\{\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2\right\}+C\left\|\left\langle\nabla^k\Gamma(f,f),\zeta\right\rangle\right\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{4.8}$$

where $G_k(t)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}G_k(t)=&\sum_{|\gamma|=k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\{\langle\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}\partial^\gamma f,\zeta_a\rangle\cdot\nabla_x\partial^\gamma a+\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}\partial^\gamma f,\zeta_{ij}\rangle\cdot\partial_j\partial^\gamma b_i\}\,dx\\&+\sum_{|\gamma|=k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\{\langle\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}\partial^\gamma f,\zeta_c\rangle\cdot\nabla_x\partial^\gamma c+\partial^\gamma b\cdot\nabla_x\partial^\gamma a\}\,dx,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and ζ, $\zeta_a(v)$, $\zeta_{ij}(v)$, and $\zeta_c(v)$ are some fixed linear combinations of the basis

$$[\sqrt{\mu},v_i\sqrt{\mu},v_iv_j\sqrt{\mu},v_i|v|^2\sqrt{\mu}],\ 1\leq i,j\leq 3.$$

The proof of (4.8) is based on the use of the *local conservation laws* and *macroscopic equations* which are derived from the so called macro-micro decomposition.

We now estimate the nonlinear term in the right hand side of (4.8). By the estimate (A.21) of Lemma A.9 and the fact that ζ decays exponentially in v, we have

$$\left\|\left\langle\nabla^k\Gamma(f,f),\zeta\right\rangle\right\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\sum_{|\gamma|=k}\sum_{\gamma_1\leq\gamma}\|\langle\Gamma(\partial^{\gamma_1}f,\partial^{\gamma-\gamma_1}f),\zeta\rangle\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\sum_{|\gamma_1|\leq k}\left\||\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f|_2|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}f|_2\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.10}$$

By Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's integral inequality (A.16) of Lemma A.7, the Sobolev interpolation of Lemma A.2 and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\||\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f|_2|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}f|_2\right\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^6_xL^2_v}\left\|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^3_xL^2_v}\lesssim\left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^2_vL^6_x}\left\|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^2_vL^3_x}\\&\lesssim\|f\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{|\gamma_1|+1}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{|\gamma_1|+1}{k+1}}\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{|\gamma_1|+1}{k+1}}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{|\gamma_1|+1}{k+1}}\\&\lesssim\delta\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Here we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{3}-\frac{k-|\gamma_1|}{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{3}\right)\times\frac{|\gamma_1|+1}{k+1}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k+1}{3}\right)\times\left(1-\frac{|\gamma_1|+1}{k+1}\right)\\ &\quad\Longrightarrow \alpha=\frac{k+1}{2(|\gamma_1|+1)}\le\frac{k+1}{2}\le\frac{N}{2}. \end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Hence, we have

$$\left\|\left\langle\nabla^k\Gamma(f,f),\zeta\right\rangle\right\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\delta^2\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{4.13}$$

Plugging the estimates (4.13) into (4.8), since δ is small, we obtain (4.5). □

To conclude our energy estimates, we turn to the nonlinear term in the right hand side of (4.1). We shall derive the following two sets of nonlinear estimates, depending on whether we assume the weighted norm of initial data.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $N\ge 3$. If $\|f(t)\|_{L^2_vH^N_x}\lesssim\delta$, then for $k=0,\dots,N-1$, we have*

$$\sum_{|\gamma_1|\le k}\left\||\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f|_2|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}f|_\nu\right\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\delta^2\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\sum_{1\le\ell\le N}\left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2\right);\tag{4.14}$$

and for $k=N$, we have

$$\sum_{|\gamma_1|\le N}\left\||\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f|_2|\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|}f|_\nu\right\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\delta^2\left(\|\nabla^N f\|_{L^2}^2+\sum_{1\le\ell\le N}\left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2\right).\tag{4.15}$$

Proof. We first use the splitting $f=\mathbf{P}f+\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\||\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f|_2|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}f|_\nu\right\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\left\||\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f|_2|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}f|_2\right\|_{L^2}+\left\||\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f|_2|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f|_\nu\right\|_{L^2}\\ &:=J_{11}+J_{12}. \end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

For the term J_{11}, if $k=0,\dots,N-1$, it has been already bounded in (4.11) as

$$J_{11}\lesssim\delta\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2};\tag{4.17}$$

while for $k=N$, by the symmetry, we may assume $|\gamma_1|\le\frac{N}{2}$ to obtain, by Lemma A.7 and Lemma A.2,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{11}&\lesssim\left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_v}\left\|\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^2}\lesssim\left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^2_vL^\infty_x}\left\|\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|}f\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}}\left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}}\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}}\left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}}\\ &\lesssim\delta\left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{|\gamma_1|}{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{3}\right)\times\left(1-\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{N}{3}\right)\times\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}\\ &\quad\Longrightarrow\alpha=\frac{3N}{2(N-|\gamma_1|)}\le 3\ \text{ since } |\gamma_1|\le N/2. \end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

Now for the term J_{12}, note that we can only bound the ν-weighted factor by the dissipation, so we can not pursue as before to adjust the index. Notice that $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ is always part of the dissipation. If $|\gamma_1| \le k-2$ (if $k-2<0$, then it's nothing in this case, etc.) and hence $k-|\gamma_1| \ge 2$, then we bound

$$J_{12} \le \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_v} \left\|\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu \lesssim \delta \sum_{2\le \ell \le N} \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu ; \tag{4.20}$$

and if $|\gamma_1| \ge k-1$ and hence $k-|\gamma_1| \le 1$, then we bound, by Sobolev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} &\le \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^2} \left\| |\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f|_\nu \right\|_{L^\infty_x} \le \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nu^{1/2}\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2_v L^\infty_x} \\ &\lesssim \delta \sum_{1\le \ell\le 3} \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu . \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Hence, we have that for $k=0,\dots,N$,

$$J_{12} \lesssim \delta \sum_{1\le \ell\le N} \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu . \tag{4.22}$$

Consequently, in light of the estimates (4.17), (4.18) and (4.22), we then get (4.14)–(4.15). □

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $N\ge 3$*. If* $\|f(t)\|_{L^2_v H^N_x} + \|f(t)\|_\nu \lesssim \delta$*, then for* $k=0,\dots,N-1$*, we have*

$$\sum_{|\gamma_1|\le k} \left\| |\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f|_2 |\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|} f|_\nu \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta^2 \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1} f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2 \right); \tag{4.23}$$

and for $k=N$*, we have*

$$\sum_{|\gamma_1|\le N} \left\| |\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f|_2 |\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|} f|_\nu \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta^2 \left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_\nu^2 . \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. Clearly, we only need to revise the estimates of the term J_{12} defined in (4.16). Note that now we can also bound the ν-weighted factor by the energy, so we can pursue to adjust the index. For $k=0,\dots,N-1$, if $|\gamma_1|=0$, then we have

$$J_{12} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_v} \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu \lesssim \delta \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu ; \tag{4.25}$$

if $|\gamma_1|\ge 1$, then by Lemma A.7 and Lemma A.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^3_x L^2_v} \left\|\nu^{1/2}\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^6_x L^2_v} \\ &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^2_v L^3_x} \left\|\nu^{1/2}\nabla^{k-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2_v L^6_x} \\ &\lesssim \left\|\nabla^\alpha f\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{|\gamma_1|-1}{k}} \left\|\nabla^{k+1} f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{|\gamma_1|-1}{k}} \left\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^{\frac{|\gamma_1|-1}{k}} \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^{1-\frac{|\gamma_1|-1}{k}} \\ &\lesssim \delta \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{3} - \frac{|\gamma_1|}{3} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{3}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{|\gamma_1|-1}{k}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k+1}{3}\right) \times \frac{|\gamma_1|-1}{k} \\ &\Longrightarrow \alpha = \frac{\frac{3}{2}k - (|\gamma_1|-1)}{k-(|\gamma_1|-1)} \le \frac{k}{2} + 1 \le \frac{N+1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Hence, we have that for $k=0,\dots,N-1$,

$$J_{12} \lesssim \delta \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu \right). \tag{4.28}$$

This together with (4.17) implies (4.23).

Now for $k = N$, if $|\gamma_1| \geq N-1$, by Lemma A.7 and Lemma A.2, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} &\leq \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nu^{1/2}\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_v} \leq \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^2} \left\|\nu^{1/2}\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2_v L^\infty_x} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2|\gamma_1|-3}{2N}} \left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2|\gamma_1|-3}{2N}} \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu^{\frac{2|\gamma_1|-3}{2N}} \left\|\nabla^N\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^{1-\frac{2|\gamma_1|-3}{2N}} \\ &\lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^N\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_1| &= \alpha \times \left(1 - \frac{2|\gamma_1|-3}{2N}\right) + N \times \frac{2|\gamma_1|-3}{2N} \\ \Longrightarrow \alpha &= \frac{3N}{2(N-|\gamma_1|)+3} \leq N; \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

and if $|\gamma_1| \leq N-2$, by again Lemma A.7 and Lemma A.2, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} &\leq \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_v} \left\|\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu \leq \left\|\nabla^{|\gamma_1|} f\right\|_{L^2_v L^\infty_x} \left\|\nabla^{N-|\gamma_1|}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}} \left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}} \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu^{\frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}} \left\|\nabla^N\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^{\frac{N-|\gamma_1|}{N}} \\ &\lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^N\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

where we have denoted α by

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{|\gamma_1|}{3} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{N}{3}\right) \times \frac{|\gamma_1|}{N} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{3}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{|\gamma_1|}{N}\right) \\ \Longrightarrow \alpha &= \frac{3N}{2(N-|\gamma_1|)} \leq \frac{3N}{4} \quad \text{since } |\gamma_1| \leq N-2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Hence, we have that for $k = N$,

$$J_{12} \lesssim \delta\left(\left\|\nabla^N f\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^N\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu\right). \tag{4.33}$$

This together with (4.18) implies (4.24). ☐

4.2. **Energy evolution of of negative Sobolev norms.** In this subsection, we will derive the evolution of the negative Sobolev norms of the solution. In order to estimate the nonlinear terms, we need to restrict ourselves to that $s \in (0, 3/2)$. We will establish the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *For $s \in (0, 1/2]$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma_0\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2 \lesssim \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu^2 + \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2 f\right\|_{L^2}^2; \tag{4.34}$$

and for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma_0\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2 \lesssim \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu^2 + \|f\|_{L^2}^{2s+1}\|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{3-2s}. \tag{4.35}$$

Proof. Applying Λ^{-s} to (2.20), and then taking the L^2 inner product with $\Lambda^{-s} f$, together with the collision invariant property and Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma_0\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2 &\leq \left(\Lambda^{-s}\Gamma(f,f), \Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right) \\ &\leq C\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\left(\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(f,f)\right)\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\sigma_0}{2}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

To estimate the right hand side of (4.36), since $0 < s < 3/2$, we let $1 < p < 2$ to be with $1/2 + s/3 = 1/p$. By the estimate (A.14) of Riesz potential in Lemma A.6, Minkowski's integral inequality (A.16) of Lemma A.7, and the estimate (A.22) (with $\eta = 1/2$) of Lemma A.9, together with Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\left(\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(f,f)\right)\right\|_{L^2} &= \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\left(\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(f,f)\right)\right\|^2_{L^2_vL^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \left\|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(f,f)\right\|_{L^2_vL^p_x} \leq \left\|\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(f,f)\right\|_{L^p_xL^2_v} \\ &\lesssim \left\||f|_2|f|_\nu\right\|_{L^p_x} \leq \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}_x L^2_v} \|f\|_\nu \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2_vL^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\left(\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu + \|f\|_{L^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

We bound the first term in (4.37) as, since $3/s > 2$, by Sobolev's inequality,

$$\|f\|_{L^2_vL^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_vH^2_x}\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu \lesssim \delta\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\nu. \tag{4.38}$$

While for the other term in (4.37), we shall separate the estimates according the value of s. If $0 < s \leq 1/2$, then $3/s \geq 6$, we use the Sobolev interpolation and Young's inequality to have

$$\|f\|_{L^2_vL^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\|f\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla f\|^{1+s/2}_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^2 f\right\|^{1-s/2}_{L^2}\|f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta\left(\|\nabla f\|_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^2 f\right\|_{L^2}\right); \tag{4.39}$$

and if $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, then $2 < 3/s < 6$, we use the (different) Sobolev interpolation and Hölder's inequality to have

$$\|f\|_{L^2_vL^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\|f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|^{s-1/2}_{L^2}\|\nabla f\|^{3/2-s}_{L^2}\|f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|^{s+1/2}_{L^2}\|\nabla f\|^{3/2-s}_{L^2}. \tag{4.40}$$

Consequently, in light of (4.37)–(4.40), we deduce from (4.36) that (4.34) holds for $s \in (0, 1/2]$ and that (4.35) holds for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$. □

4.3. **Energy evolution of the microscopic part $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$.** In this subsection, we will derive the energy evolution of the weighted norm of the microscopic part. With the help of this weighted norm, we can prove a further estimates of the microscopic part which allows us to prove the faster decay of it. The following lemma provides the energy evolution for $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$.

Lemma 4.6. *If $\|f(t)\|_{L^2_vH^N_x} \lesssim \delta$, then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_{L^2} + \sigma_0\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_\nu \lesssim \|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{4.41}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2} + \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_\nu. \tag{4.42}$$

Proof. We only prove (4.42), but the proof of (4.41) is similar. Applying the projection $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}$ to (2.20), we obtain

$$\partial_t\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f + v\cdot\nabla_x\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f + L\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f = \Gamma(f,f) - v\cdot\nabla_x\mathbf{P}f + \mathbf{P}(v\cdot\nabla_x f). \tag{4.43}$$

Taking the L^2 inner product of (4.43) with $\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_\nu + (\nu L\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f, \{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f) \\ &\quad = (\Gamma(f,f), \nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f) + (-v\cdot\nabla_x\mathbf{P}f + \mathbf{P}(v\cdot\nabla_x f), \nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f). \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

The estimate (A.20) of Lemma A.8 implies

$$(\nu L\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f, \{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_{L^2} - C\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_\nu. \tag{4.45}$$

While we use Hölder's inequality, the estimate (A.22) (with $\eta = 0$) of Lemma A.9 and Sobolev's inequality to bound

$$\begin{aligned}(\Gamma(f,f),\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f) &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_x}|\nu f|_2|f|_2|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f|_2\,dx\\ &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_x}\{\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f|_2+|\mathbf{P}f|_2\}\,|f|_2|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f|_2\,dx\\ &\le C\,\|f\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_v}\|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_{L^2}^2+\|f\|_{L^3_xL^2_v}\|f\|_{L^6_xL^2_v}\|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\delta\left(\|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2\right),\end{aligned}\tag{4.46}$$

On the other hand, by the direct computation we can bound the last two terms in (4.44) by

$$(-v\cdot\nabla_x\mathbf{P}f+\mathbf{P}(v\cdot\nabla_x f),\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f)\le C\,\|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{8}\,\|\nu\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{4.47}$$

Hence, plugging (4.45)–(4.47) into (4.44), since δ is small, we obtain (4.42). □

By (4.42), we know that if $\|f_0\|_\nu$ is small, then $\|f(t)\|_\nu$ is small. With the help of this weighted bound, we can prove the following energy evolution for $\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f,\ k=1,\dots,N-2$.

Lemma 4.7. *If* $\|f(t)\|_{L^2_vH^N_x}+\|f(t)\|_{L^2_\nu}\lesssim\delta$, *then for* $k=0,\dots,N-2$, *we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma_0\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2\lesssim\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{4.48}$$

Proof. Applying ∇^k with $k=0,\dots,N-2$ to (4.43) and then taking the L^2 inner product with $\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma_0\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2\\ &\quad\le\left(\nabla^k\Gamma(f,f),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right)+\left(-v\cdot\nabla_x\nabla^k\mathbf{P}f+\mathbf{P}(v\cdot\nabla_x\nabla^kf),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.49}$$

By the estimate (4.23) of Lemma 4.4, we may bound

$$\left(\nabla^k\Gamma(f,f),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right)\le C\delta^2\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left(C\delta^2+\frac{\sigma_0}{8}\right)\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2.\tag{4.50}$$

By the direct computation we can bound the last two terms in (4.49) by

$$\left(-v\cdot\nabla_x\nabla^k\mathbf{P}f+\mathbf{P}(v\cdot\nabla_x\nabla^kf),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right)\le C\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\sigma_0}{4}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{4.51}$$

Hence, plugging (4.50)–(4.51) into (4.49), we obtain (4.48). □

4.4. **Proof of Theorems 2.4.** In this subsection, we will combine all the energy estimates that we have derived in the previous three subsections to prove Theorem 2.4.

We let $N\ge3$ and then assume the a priori estimates

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^2_vH^N_x}\lesssim\delta.\tag{4.52}$$

Let $0\le\ell\le N-1$. For from $k=\ell$ to $N-1$ we bound the righthand side of (4.1) of Lemma 4.1 by the estimate (4.14) of Lemma 4.3 and then sum up the resulting estimates, by changing the index, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{\ell\le k\le N-1}\left\|\nabla^kf\right\|_{L^2}^2+C\sum_{\ell\le k\le N-1}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2\\ &\quad\le C\delta^2\left(\sum_{\ell\le k\le N-1}\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f\right\|_{L^2}^2+\sum_{1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.53}$$

For $k = N$ we bound the righthand side of (4.1) of Lemma 4.1 by the estimates (4.15) of Lemma 4.3, and then add the resulting estimate with (4.53), by changing the index, to deduce that there exist constants $C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2\sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\nu}^2\\
&\quad\le C_3\delta^2\left(\sum_{\ell+1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\nu}^2\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.54}$$

On the other hand, we sum up the estimates (4.5) of Lemma 4.2 from $k = \ell$ to $N-1$, by changing the index, to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{\ell\le k\le N-1} G_k + \sum_{\ell+1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\mathbf{P}f\right\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_1\sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.55}$$

Then, multiplying (4.55) by a small number $\beta > 0$ and then adding the resulting inequality with (4.54), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \beta\sum_{\ell\le k\le N-1} G_k\right)\\
&\quad + (C_2 - C_1\beta)\sum_{\ell\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\nu}^2 + \beta\sum_{\ell+1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\mathbf{P}f\right\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad\le C_3\delta^2\left(\sum_{\ell+1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\nu}^2\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.56}$$

We define $\mathcal{E}_\ell(t)$ to be the expression under the time derivative in (4.56). We may now take β to be sufficiently small so that $(C_2 - C_1\beta) > 0$ and that $\mathcal{E}_\ell(t)$ is equivalent to $\left\|\nabla^\ell f(t)\right\|_{L^2_v H^{N-\ell}_x}^2$ due to the fact (4.6). On the other hand, since β is fixed and δ is small, we can then absorb the first term in the right hand side of (4.56) to have that for some constant $C_4 > 0$, by adjusting the constant in the definition of $\mathcal{E}_\ell(t)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_\ell + \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\nu}^2 + \sum_{\ell+1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{\nu}^2 \le C_4\delta^2\sum_{1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\nu}^2. \tag{4.57}$$

Proof of (2.29). We take $\ell = 0$ in (4.57). Noticing that in this case, we can absorb the right hand side of (4.57), so we have, by adjusting the constant in the definition of $\mathcal{E}_0(t)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_0 + \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_{\nu}^2 + \sum_{1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_{\nu}^2 \le 0. \tag{4.58}$$

Integrating (4.58) directly in time, we deduce (2.29). Hence, if we assume (2.28) for a sufficiently small δ_0, then a standard continuity argument closes the a priori estimates (4.52) and thus we conclude the global solution with the uniform bound (2.29). □

Now we turn to prove (2.30)–(2.31). However, we are not able to prove them for all s at this moment. We shall first prove them for $s \in [0, 1/2]$.

Proof of (2.30)–(2.31) *for* $s \in [0, 1/2]$. First, integrating in time the estimate (4.34) of Lemma 4.5, by the bound (2.29), we obtain that for $s \in (0, 1/2]$,

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f_0\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_0^t \left(\|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f(\tau)\|_\nu^2 + \|\nabla f(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2 f(\tau)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \leq C_0. \tag{4.59}$$

This together with (2.29) gives (2.30) for $s \in [0, 1/2]$.

Next, we take $\ell = 1$ in (4.57). Noticing that in this case we can also absorb the right hand side of (4.57), so we have, by adjusting the constant in the definition of $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_1 + \|\nabla\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_\nu^2 + \sum_{2 \leq k \leq N} \left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\nu^2 \leq 0. \tag{4.60}$$

Recalling that the energy functional $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ is equivalent to $\|\nabla f(t)\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{N-1}}^2$, so there is one exceptional term $\|\nabla \mathbf{P} f(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ that can not be bounded by the corresponding dissipation in (4.60). The key point is to interpolate by Lemma A.5 as

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{P} f\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\|\nabla^2 f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+s}{2+s}} \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2+s}}. \tag{4.61}$$

This yields that for some $C_5 > 0$,

$$\left\|\nabla^2 f\right\|_{L^2} \geq C_5 \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{1}{1+s}} \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^{-\frac{1}{1+s}}. \tag{4.62}$$

Thus, by the bound (2.30), then we have that there exists $C_0 > 0$ so that

$$\left\|\nabla^2 f\right\|_{L^2} \geq C_0 \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{1}{1+s}}. \tag{4.63}$$

Hence, by (4.63) and the trivial inequality $\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_\nu$, we deduce from (4.60) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_1 + C_0 (\mathcal{E}_1)^{1+\frac{1}{1+s}} \leq 0. \tag{4.64}$$

Solving this inequality directly and by (2.29) again, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C_0 (1+t)^{-(1+s)}. \tag{4.65}$$

This gives (2.31) for $\ell = 1$. While for $-s < \ell < 1$, (2.31) follows by the interpolation. □

Proof of (2.30)–(2.31) *for* $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$. Notice that the arguments for the case $s \in [0, 1/2]$ can not be applied to this case. However, observing that we have $f_0 \in L_v^2 \dot{H}_x^{-1/2}$ since $L_v^2 \dot{H}_x^{-s} \cap L_v^2 L_x^2 \subset L_v^2 \dot{H}_x^{-s'}$ for any $s' \in [0, s]$, we then deduce from what we have proved for (2.30) and (2.31) with $s = 1/2$ that the following decay result holds:

$$\sum_{\ell \leq k \leq N} \left\|\nabla^k f(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0 (1+t)^{-(\ell + \frac{1}{2})} \text{ for } -\frac{1}{2} \leq \ell \leq 1. \tag{4.66}$$

Hence, by (4.66) and (2.29), we deduce from (4.35) that for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f_0\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_0^t \left(\|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f(\tau)\|_\nu^2 + \|f(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{2s+1} \|\nabla f(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{3-2s} \right) d\tau. \\ &\leq C_0 + C_0 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-(5/2-s)} \, d\tau \leq C_0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

This proves (2.30) for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$. Now that we have proved (4.67), we may repeat the arguments leading to (2.31) for $s \in [0, 1/2]$ to obtain (2.31) for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$. □

Proof of (2.32). Applying the Gronwall inequality to (4.41), by (2.31) with $\ell = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\le e^{-\sigma_0 t}\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C\int_0^t e^{-\sigma_0(t-\tau)}\|\nabla f(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2\,d\tau \\ &\le e^{-\sigma_0 t}\|f_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C_0\int_0^t e^{-\sigma_0(t-\tau)}(1+\tau)^{-(1+s)}\,d\tau \\ &\le C_0(1+t)^{-(1+s)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

This gives (2.32). □

Proof of (2.34). Due to the estimates (2.29) and (4.42), if we assume (2.33) for a sufficiently small $\delta_0 > 0$, then we have the estimates

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^2_v H^N_x} + \|f(t)\|_\nu \lesssim \delta. \tag{4.69}$$

This allows us to use Lemma 4.4 in place of Lemma 4.3 to improve the estimate (4.57) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_\ell + \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\nu^2 + \sum_{\ell+1\le k\le N}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\nu^2 \le 0 \text{ for } \ell = 0,\dots,N-1. \tag{4.70}$$

Then we interpolate by using Lemma A.5 as,

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\ell+s}{\ell+s+1}}\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\ell+s+1}}. \tag{4.71}$$

This together with (2.30) yields that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for $-s < \ell \le N-1$,

$$\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} f\right\|_{L^2} \ge C\left(\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}}\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^{-\frac{1}{\ell+s}} \ge C_0\left(\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}}. \tag{4.72}$$

Hence, by (4.72) and the trivial inequality $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} \le \|\cdot\|_\nu$, we deduce from (4.70) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_\ell + C_0(\mathcal{E}_\ell)^{1+\frac{1}{\ell+s}} \le 1 \text{ for } \ell = 1,\dots,N-1. \tag{4.73}$$

Solving this inequality directly and by (2.29) again, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell(t) \le C_0(1+t)^{-(\ell+s)} \text{ for } \ell = 1,\dots,N-1. \tag{4.74}$$

Thus, by (2.30), (4.74) and the interpolation, we deduce (2.34). □

Proof of (2.35). The estimates (4.69) allows us to have the estimates (4.48) of Lemma 4.7. Hence, applying the Gronwall inequality to (4.48) with $k = 1,\cdots,N-2$, by (2.34) with $\ell = k+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\le e^{-\sigma_0 t}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_0\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C\int_0^t e^{-\sigma_0(t-\tau)}\left\|\nabla^{k+1} f(\tau)\right\|_{L^2}^2\,d\tau \\ &\le e^{-\sigma_0 t}\left\|\nabla^k f_0\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C_0\int_0^t e^{-\sigma_0(t-\tau)}(1+\tau)^{-(k+1+s)}\,d\tau \\ &\le C_0(1+t)^{-(k+1+s)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.75}$$

This proves (2.35) for $\ell = 1,\dots,N-2$. Which for $-s < \ell < N-2$, (2.35) follows by the interpolation. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is completed. □

Appendix A. Analytic tools

A.1. **Sobolev type inequalities.** We will extensively use the Sobolev interpolation of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

Lemma A.1. *Let $0 \le m, \alpha \le \ell$, then we have*

$$\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2}^{\theta} \tag{A.1}$$

where $0 \le \theta \le 1$ and α satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{3} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{3}\right)(1-\theta) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\ell}{3}\right)\theta. \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. This can be found in [25, pp. 125, THEOREM]. □

For the Boltzmann equation, we shall use the corresponding Sobolev interpolation of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for the functions on $\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v$.

Lemma A.2. *Let $0 \le m, \alpha \le \ell$. Let $w(v)$ be any weight function of v, then we have*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} w \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p_x}^2 \, dv\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} w \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^2_x}^2 \, dv\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} w \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2_x}^2 dv\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \tag{A.3}$$

where $0 \le \theta \le 1$ and α satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{3} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{3}\right)(1-\theta) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\ell}{3}\right)\theta. \tag{A.4}$$

Proof. For any function $f(x,v)$, by Lemma A.1, we have

$$\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p_x} \lesssim \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^2_x}^{1-\theta} \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2_x}^{\theta}. \tag{A.5}$$

Taking the square of (A.5) and then multiplying by $w(v)$, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3_v$, by Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} w \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p_x}^2 \, dv &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} w \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^2_x}^{2(1-\theta)} \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2_x}^{2\theta} dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} \left(w^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^2_x}\right)^{2(1-\theta)} \left(w^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2_x}\right)^{2\theta} dv \\ &\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} \left(w^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^2_x}\right)^2 dv\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_v} \left(w^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2_x}\right)^{2\theta} dv\right)^{\theta}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Taking the square root of (A.6), we deduce (A.3). □

We recall the following commutator estimate:

Lemma A.3. *Let $m \ge 1$ be an integer and define the commutator*

$$[\nabla^m, f]g = \nabla^m(fg) - f\nabla^m g. \tag{A.7}$$

Then we have

$$\|[\nabla^m, f]g\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \left\|\nabla^{m-1} g\right\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{A.8}$$

Proof. It can be proved by using Lemma A.1, see [21, pp. 98, Lemma 3.4] for instance. □

A.2. **Negative Sobolev norms.** We define the operator $\Lambda^s, s \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Lambda^s f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x\cdot\xi}\, d\xi, \tag{A.9}$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f. We define the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s$ of all f for which $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}$ is finite, where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} := \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2} = \left\| |\xi|^s \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2}. \tag{A.10}$$

We will use the non-positive index s. For convenience, we will change the index to be "$-s$" with $s \geq 0$. We will employ the following special Sobolev interpolation:

Lemma A.4. *Let $s \geq 0$ and $\ell \geq 0$, then we have*

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} f\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^{\theta}, \text{ where } \theta = \frac{1}{\ell+1+s}. \tag{A.11}$$

Proof. By the Parseval theorem, the definition of (A.10) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2} = \left\| |\xi|^\ell \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| |\xi|^{\ell+1} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \left\| |\xi|^{-s} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2}^{\theta} = \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} f\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^{\theta}. \tag{A.12}$$

□

For the Boltzmann equation, we shall use the corresponding Sobolev interpolation for the functions on $\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v$.

Lemma A.5. *Let $s \geq 0$ and $\ell \geq 0$, then we have*

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\|\nabla^{\ell+1} f\right\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^2}^{\theta}, \text{ where } \theta = \frac{1}{\ell+1+s}. \tag{A.13}$$

Proof. It follows by further taking the L^2 norm of (A.11) over $\mathbb{R}^3_v$. □

If $s \in (0,3)$, $\Lambda^{-s} f$ defined by (A.9) is the Riesz potential. The Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem implies the following L^p inequality for the Riesz potential:

Lemma A.6. *Let $0 < s < 3,\ 1 < p < q < \infty,\ 1/q + s/3 = 1/p$, then*

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f\right\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{A.14}$$

Proof. See [29, pp. 119, Theorem 1]. □

A.3. **Minkowski's inequality.** In estimating the nonlinear terms for the Boltzmann equation, it is crucial to use the Minkowski's integral inequality to exchange the orders of integration over x and v.

Lemma A.7. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let f be a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^3_y \times \mathbb{R}^3_z$, then we have*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_z} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y} |f(y,z)|\, dy \right)^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_z} |f(y,z)|^p\, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy. \tag{A.15}$$

In particular, for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^q_z L^p_y} \leq \|f\|_{L^p_y L^q_z}. \tag{A.16}$$

Proof. The inequality (A.15) can be found in [29, pp. 271, A.1], hence it remains to prove (A.16). For $q = \infty$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty_z L^p_y} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y} |f|^p\, dy \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y} \left(\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^3} |f| \right)^p dv \right)^{1/p} = \|f\|_{L^p_y L^\infty_z}. \tag{A.17}$$

For $q<\infty$ and hence $1\le q/p<\infty$, then by (A.15), we have

$$\|f\|_{L^q_z L^p_y}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_z}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y}|f|^p\,dy\right)^{q/p}dz\right)^{1/q}\le\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_z}|f|^q\,dz\right)^{p/q}dv\right)^{1/p}=\|f\|_{L^p_y L^q_z}. \quad (A.18)$$

□

A.4. **Boltzmann collision operators.** Now, we collect some useful estimates of the linear collision operator.

Lemma A.8. *$\langle Lh_1,h_2\rangle=\langle h_1,Lh_2\rangle$, $\langle Lh,h\rangle\ge 0$. And $Lh=0$ if and only if $h=\mathbf{P}h$. Moreover, there exist a constant $\sigma_0>0$ such that*

$$\langle Lh,h\rangle\ge\sigma_0\left|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}h\right|_\nu^2, \quad (A.19)$$

and

$$\langle \nu Lh,h\rangle\ge\frac{1}{2}|\nu h|_2^2-C|h|_\nu^2. \quad (A.20)$$

Proof. We refer to [10, Lemma 3.2] for (A.19), and [10, Lemma 3.3] for (A.20). □

Next, we collect some useful estimates of the nonlinear collision operator.

Lemma A.9. *There exists $C>0$ such that*

$$|\langle\Gamma(h_1,h_2),h_3\rangle|+|\langle\Gamma(h_2,h_1),h_3\rangle|\le C\sup_v\{\nu^3h_3\}|h_1|_2|h_2|_2. \quad (A.21)$$

Moreover, for any $0\le\eta\le1$, we have

$$|\nu^{-\eta}\Gamma(h_1,h_2)|_2\le C\left\{|\nu^{1-\eta}h_1|_2|h_2|_2+|\nu^{1-\eta}h_2|_2|h_1|_2\right\}. \quad (A.22)$$

Proof. We refer to [7, Lemma 2.3] for (A.21), and [32, Lemma 2.7] for (A.22). □

References

[1] K. Deckelnick, Decay estimates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded domains, Math. Z., **209** (1992), 115–130.

[2] K. Deckelnick, L^2-decay for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded domains, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **18** (1993), 1445–1476.

[3] R. J. Duan, R. M. Strain, Optimal time decay of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in $\mathbf{R}^3$, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **199** (2011), 291–328.

[4] R. J. Duan, R. M. Strain, Optimal large-time behavior of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system in the whole space, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **64** (11) (2011), 1497–1546.

[5] R. J. Duan, S. Ukai, T. Yang, H. J. Zhao, Optimal convergence rate for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential force, Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci., **17** (2007), 737–758.

[6] R. J. Duan, S. Ukai, T. Yang, H. J. Zhao, Optimal decay estimates on the linearized Boltzmann equation with time dependent force and their applications, Comm. Math. Phys., **277** (2008), 189–236.

[7] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **55**(9) (2002), 1104–1135.

[8] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians, Invent. Math., 153 (2003), 593–630.

[9] Y. Guo, The Boltzmann equation in the whole space, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **53**(4) (2004), 1081–1094.

[10] Y. Guo, Boltzmann diffusive limit beyond the Navier-Stokes approximation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **59** (2006), 626–687.

[11] Y. Guo, I. Tice, Decay of viscous surface waves without surface tension in horizontally infinite domains, Preprint, 2011, [arXiv:1011.5179].

[12] D. Hoff, K. Zumbrun, Multidimensional diffusion waves for the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible flow, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **44** (1995), 604–676.

[13] D. Hoff, K. Zumbrun, Pointwise decay estimates for multidimensional Navier-Stokes diffusion waves, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **48** (1997), 597–614.

[14] J. Jang, Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann diffusive limit, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **194** (2009), 531–584.

[15] Y. Kagei, T. Kobayashi, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations on the half space, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **177** (2005), 231–330.
[16] Y. Kagei, T. Kobayashi, On large time behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the half space in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **165** (2002), 89–159.
[17] T. Kobayashi, Some estimates of solutions for the equations of motion of compressible viscous fluid in an exterior domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, J. Differential equations, **184** (2002), 587–619.
[18] T. Kobayashi, Y. Shibata, Decay estimates of solutions for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases in an exterior domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Commun. Math. Phys., **200** (1999), 621–659.
[19] T. P. Liu, W. K. Wang, The pointwise estimates of diffusion waves for the Navier-Stokes equations in odd multi-dimensions, Commun. Math. Phys., **196** (1998) 145–173.
[20] T. P. Liu, T. Yang, S. H. Yu, Energy method for Boltzmann equation, Physica D, **188**(3-4) (2004), 178–192.
[21] A. J. Majda, A. L. Bertozzi, Vorticity and incompressible flow, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
[22] A. Matsumura, An energy method for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids, University of Wisconsin-Madison, MRC Technical Summary Report # 2194 (1981), 1–16.
[23] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problems for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **20** (1980), 67–104.
[24] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, **55** (1979), 337–342.
[25] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **13** (1959), 115–162.
[26] T. Nishida, K. Imai, Global solutions to the initial value problem for the nonlinear Boltzmann equation, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **12** (1976), 229–239.
[27] G. Ponce, Global existence of small solution to a class of nonlinear evolution equations, Nonlinear Anal., **9** (1985), 339–418.
[28] Y. Shizuta, On the classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **36** (1983), 705–754.
[29] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University Press, 1970.
[30] R. M. Strain, The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system in the whole space, Comm. Math. Phys., **268**(2) (2006), 543–567.
[31] S. Ukai, On the existence of global solutions of a mixed problem for the Boltzmann equation, Proc. Japan Acad., **50** (1974), 179–184.
[32] S. Ukai, T. Yang, The Boltzmann equation in the space $L^2 \cap L^\infty_\beta$: global and time-periodic solutions, Anal. Appl., **4** (2006), 263–310.
[33] S. Ukai, T. Yang, H. J. Zhao, Convergence rate to stationary solutions for Boltzmann equation with external force, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B, **27**(4) (2006), 363–378.
[34] S. Ukai, T. Yang, H. J. Zhao, Global solutions to the Boltzmann equation with external forces, Analysis and Applications, **3** (2005), 157–193.
[35] T. Yang, H. Yu, Optimal convergence rates of classical solutions for Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system, Commun. Math. Phys., **301** (2011), 319–355.
[36] T. Yang, H. J. Zhao, Global existence of classical solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system, Commun. Math. Phys., **268** (2006), 569–605.

Division of Applied Mathemathics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA
E-mail address, Y. Guo: `guoy@cfm.brown.edu`

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China
E-mail address, Y. J. Wang: `yanjin_wang@xmu.edu.cn`